Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Biological Engineering and Medical Science
DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/96/2025.21797

Harnessing Natural Defenses Systemic Acquired Resistance (SAR) and Biocontrol in Sustainable Plant Protection

Jiaxuan Chen

College of Plant Protection, Jilin Agricultural University, Jilin, China
19373224958@163.com

Abstract: This research explores the integration of systemic acquired resistance (SAR) and biological control as sustainable alternatives to chemical-dependent approaches in plant protection. The study examines the molecular mechanisms of SAR, including pathogen recognition through pattern recognition receptors, MAPK signaling cascades, salicylic acid biosynthesis, and NPR1-mediated defense gene activation. SAR immune memory involves sophisticated epigenetic reprogramming, particularly histone modifications and DNA demethylation. Biocontrol agents operate through direct antagonism (e.g., Bacillus lipopeptides), nutrient competition (e.g., Pseudomonas siderophores), and niche exclusion (e.g., Trichoderma cell wall degradation). Despite their potential, both strategies face technical challenges: SAR efficacy varies with environmental conditions and genetic backgrounds, exhibits tissue-specific limitations, and triggers growth-defense trade-offs; biocontrol implementation suffers from poor field colonization, inter-strain antagonism, and scalability barriers. Recent innovations include nano-encapsulated SAR inducers that enhance induction efficiency by 40-60%, CRISPR-Cas9 fine-tuning of immune regulators, and intelligent molecular switches for precise defense activation. In biocontrol, synergistic Bacillus-Trichoderma consortia improve disease suppression by >50%, advanced formulation technologies enhance field persistence, and microbiome engineering systematically reshapes rhizosphere architecture. A three-phase technology roadmap proposes integrating these approaches through: (1) advanced formulations and screening platforms (short-term); (2) precision-engineered microbial communities and sophisticated molecular switches (medium-term); and (3) AI-driven plant health management platforms (long-term). This interdisciplinary research bridges fundamental science with practical applications, supporting sustainable agriculture and contributing to global food security and environmental goals.

Keywords: Systemic Acquired Resistance, Biocontrol, Salicylic acid, epigenomics, Sustainable Plant Protection

1. Introduction

1.1. Research Background and Current Status

Global agricultural sustainability faces significant challenges in managing plant diseases. Over-reliance on synthetic agrochemicals has triggered environmental and health concerns, including soil degradation, water contamination, and biodiversity loss, potentially compromising agroecosystem

© 2025 The Authors. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/96/2025.21797

stability. Residual pesticides can accumulate through food chains, presenting health risks to human populations. Simultaneously, the emergence of resistant pathogens necessitates increased pesticide applications, while climate change alters disease transmission patterns, creating a cycle of chemical dependency. These challenges emphasize the need to explore alternatives to conventional approaches. Promising solutions include biological control agents, plant immune activation (e.g., systemic acquired resistance), and microbiome-based protection. The study of systemic acquired resistance (SAR) began with 1930s observations of virus-induced immunity in tobacco plants, with salicylic acid pathways identified in the late 20th century[1] Biological control evolved from early predator-based methods to advanced systems using beneficial microorganisms and resistance induction. As environmentally friendly alternatives, these approaches reduce chemical dependence by stimulating plants' natural immunity or introducing beneficial organisms. However, limitations—such as variable SAR induction efficiency and challenges in field establishment of biocontrol agents—highlight the importance of understanding plant-microbe interactions through comprehensive studies, advancing the combined use of these defense strategies.

1.2. Research Objectives and Significance

This study aims to investigate the complementary mechanisms between systemic acquired resistance (SAR) and biological control, focusing on regulatory networks within plant-pathogen-biocontrol interactions. By combining epigenomic analysis [2]and synthetic biology approaches[3] , we will identify key signaling pathways[4]through which beneficial microorganisms enhance SAR, while examining connections between host metabolism and microbial functions. A comprehensive field testing program will evaluate this integrated strategy across several metrics: reduction in agrochemical use, improvement in crop resilience under various stresses, and restoration of soil microbial communities. The results are expected to offer alternatives to chemical-dependent approaches in plant protection, providing scientific basis for developing regionally appropriate, ecologically sound disease management systems. This research supports the shift toward sustainable agriculture, contributing to United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDG 2: Zero Hunger; SDG 15: Life on Land) through science-based ecological approaches.

2. Theoretical Foundation

2.1. Molecular Mechanisms of Systemic Acquired Resistance (SAR)

Systemic Acquired Resistance activation is initiated through the recognition of pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) by pattern recognition receptors (PRRs, primarily FLS2/EFR complexes), which subsequently triggers mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling cascades[5]. This recognition process involves sequential phosphorylation events that activate downstream transcription factors, predominantly from the WRKY family, leading to the induction of early defense gene expression profiles. Salicylic acid (SA), the central defense phytohormone, is synthesized and accumulated within chloroplasts via the isochorismate synthase 1 (ICS1) pathway. SA binding induces conformational alterations in NPR1 (NON-EXPRESSOR OF PATHOGENESIS-RELATED GENES 1), promoting its nuclear translocation. Within the nucleus, NPR1 interacts with TGA transcription factors to activate pathogenesis-related (PR) genes (including PR1, PR2), thereby establishing broad-spectrum resistance[6].

Recent investigations have revealed that SAR immune memory relies on sophisticated epigenetic reprogramming mechanisms: pathogen invasion induces histone H3K4 trimethylation (H3K4me3), significantly enhancing chromatin accessibility at defense gene promoters, while ROS1-mediated DNA demethylation effectively removes silencing marks, priming these genes for rapid reactivation upon subsequent pathogen challenge[2]. Mobile signaling molecules (including glycerol-3-phosphate)

DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/96/2025.21797

propagate systemic resistance through phloem vasculature, with transgenerational inheritance mechanisms linked to small interfering RNA (siRNA)-directed DNA methylation patterns [7]. Advanced computational approaches, particularly deep learning models, have demonstrated efficacy in predicting SAR-related histone modification patterns through comprehensive analysis of epigenomic datasets, potentially accelerating resistance breeding programs[8].

2.2. Mechanisms of Biological Control

Biocontrol agents suppress phytopathogens through integrated multimodal strategies: (1) Direct antagonism: Bacillus species secrete cyclic lipopeptides (particularly fengycin) that effectively disrupt pathogen biofilms and induce cell lysis [9]; (2) Nutrient competition: Pseudomonas species produce high-affinity pyoverdine siderophores that sequester environmental iron, thereby inhibiting pathogen proliferation through nutrient limitation[10] ; (3) Niche exclusion: Trichoderma species efficiently degrade pathogen cell walls via secreted chitinases and β-1,3-glucanases while extensively colonizing root surfaces, establishing physical barriers against pathogen establishment [11].

The rhizosphere microbiome serves as a critical component in priming induced systemic resistance (ISR). Volatile organic compounds (VOCs) produced by biocontrol agents activate jasmonic acid (JA) and ethylene (ET) signaling pathways, synergistically enhancing SAR responses [3]. Integrated metagenomic analyses coupled with machine learning approaches have demonstrated that biocontrol agents systematically restructure rhizosphere microbial communities, significantly enriching beneficial Actinobacteria populations while suppressing pathogenic microorganisms [12]. Comparative assessments with conventional chemical control methods indicate that biocontrol strategies substantially reduce pesticide residues (by approximately 90%) while enhancing soil organic matter decomposition and nitrogen fixation through enhanced microbial metabolic activities, thus minimizing ecotoxicological impacts [13].

3. Key Scientific Questions

3.1. Technical Bottlenecks in SAR Application

The induction efficiency of systemic acquired resistance (SAR) exhibits significant variability across agricultural contexts due to multiple interacting factors. Environmental conditions—particularly light intensity, temperature fluctuations (15-30°C), and soil physicochemical properties (pH 5.5-7.5, organic matter content 2-5%)—modulate SAR expression by affecting salicylic acid accumulation and NPR1 nuclear translocation dynamics [14]. Host genetic backgrounds further complicate SAR deployment, with transcriptomic analyses revealing that different crop cultivars express only 45-70% of core SAR-associated gene networks, resulting in substantial variation in field resistance outcomes.

SAR signaling demonstrates pronounced tissue-specific dynamics, with metabolomic tracing studies indicating that only 34%-62% of foliar resistance signals are effectively translocated to root systems through phloem vasculature [15]. This limited translocation efficiency creates vulnerable zones within plant architecture, particularly at root-soil interfaces where pathogen pressure is often highest. Temporal alignment between SAR activation windows (typically 24-72 hours post-induction) and pathogen infection cycles represents another critical barrier, as premature or delayed activation significantly reduces protective efficacy.

Perhaps most concerning from an agronomic perspective is the SAR-associated growth-defense trade-off. Comprehensive field trials have documented that SAR-triggered photosynthesis suppression causes 5%-15% yield penalties in major cereal crops under standard cultivation conditions [16]. Molecular analyses have identified TOR kinase-mediated pathways as central regulators of these trade-offs, redirecting carbon and nitrogen resources from primary metabolism

DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/96/2025.21797

toward defense compound synthesis. These growth penalties represent a significant adoption barrier for resource-limited agricultural systems where yield stability is paramount.

3.2. Practical Challenges in Biological Control

Despite promising laboratory results, field implementation of biocontrol strategies faces persistent challenges. Field colonization rates of introduced biocontrol agents rarely exceed 30% of target populations, even under optimized application protocols [17]. This limited establishment is primarily constrained by edaphic factors (soil moisture below 15% or above 35%, organic content <2%), microclimatic variability, and competitive exclusion by indigenous microbiome members. Time-series metagenomic analyses reveal that introduced strains typically experience 40-60% population declines within 7-14 days post-application in diverse soil types.

Inter-strain antagonism within biocontrol consortia represents another significant limitation, reducing field efficacy by 30%-50% compared to theoretical performance models [18]. High-resolution imaging and metabolomic studies have demonstrated that quorum-sensing conflicts between commonly co-applied agents (particularly Bacillus and Pseudomonas species) result in mutually inhibitory interactions rather than the synergistic protection observed in controlled environments. These antagonistic relationships manifest through incompatible secondary metabolite production, competitive resource acquisition, and direct suppression of colonization capacity.

Scalability barriers further complicate widespread adoption of biocontrol approaches. Current production costs range from $0.5-1.2 per hectare—marginally higher than conventional chemical alternatives in many regions—while shelf-life stability remains limited to less than 6 months for most commercial formulations [19]. Fermentation scalability, maintaining genetic stability through production cycles, and developing region-specific formulations adapted to diverse environmental conditions represent persistent technical challenges. Additionally, regulatory frameworks in many jurisdictions remain poorly adapted to biological products, imposing chemical-oriented testing requirements that increase development costs and market entry barriers.

4. Technological Innovations and Application Strategies

4.1. Advanced Approaches to SAR Regulation

Recent breakthroughs in nanotechnology have revolutionized SAR induction through engineered delivery systems. Nano-encapsulated salicylic acid analogs demonstrate remarkable environmental resilience, with controlled-release kinetics that maintain effective concentrations in plant tissues for extended periods. Comprehensive field evaluations across diverse agroecosystems reveal that these nano-formulations enhance SAR induction efficiency by 40-60% compared to conventional approaches while significantly reducing photodegradation and microbial decomposition [20]. These advanced delivery systems effectively overcome traditional barriers to SAR application, particularly in challenging field conditions.

Precision genomic engineering represents another transformative approach to optimizing plant immune responses. CRISPR-Cas9-mediated fine-tuning of central immune regulators—particularly NPR1 and ICS1—has demonstrated remarkable effectiveness in enhancing defense capabilities without triggering growth-defense trade-offs. Multi-season trials with edited crop varieties show that targeted modifications to the NPR1 promoter region and protein-interaction domains increase resistance to diverse pathogen classes by >50% while maintaining yield stability [21]. This strategic manipulation of immune architecture represents a paradigm shift from chemical induction to genetic optimization of innate defense systems.

The integration of intelligent molecular switches has emerged as a particularly promising frontier in SAR regulation. Light-responsive promoter systems utilizing phytochrome-interacting factors

DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/96/2025.21797

allow for precise spatiotemporal control of defense activation through specific light wavelengths, effectively minimizing metabolic costs. Similarly, pathogen-responsive genetic circuits employing pattern-recognition domains activate defense pathways exclusively during infection events, preventing unnecessary resource allocation. These smart regulatory systems are being further enhanced through artificial intelligence platforms that integrate environmental monitoring, pathogen forecasting, and crop physiology data to predict optimal intervention windows with unprecedented precision.

Looking forward, several transformative technologies are poised to reshape SAR application: (1) Multifunctional nano-carriers capable of simultaneously delivering SAR inducers and biocontrol agents with synchronized release profiles; (2) Synthetic biological systems that dynamically modulate SAR signaling networks in response to pathogen-specific molecular signatures; (3) Integrated epigenomic-AI platforms that decode and manipulate the molecular architecture of immune memory, offering new avenues for developing crops with durable, transgenerational resistance profiles.

4.2. Innovations in Biological Control Systems

The development of synergistic biocontrol consortia has significantly advanced field efficacy beyond single-strain approaches. Carefully designed Bacillus-Trichoderma combinations leverage complementary mechanisms—antibiosis, competitive exclusion, and induced systemic resistance—to create multi-layered defense systems. Field-scale assessments demonstrate that these optimized consortia improve disease suppression by >50% compared to individual agents while providing cross-protection against diverse pathogen classes [22]. The success of these formulations stems from comprehensive interaction mapping that identifies complementary rather than antagonistic strain combinations.

Substantial progress in microbial formulation technology has addressed historical challenges in field establishment. Advanced biopolymer matrices incorporating biofilm-promoting compounds, osmoprotectants, and micronutrients significantly enhance microbial persistence under field conditions. Implementation of precision delivery methods—including seed biopolymer coatings and subsurface drip irrigation systems—has increased colonization efficiency to >60% across diverse soil types while extending functional persistence through multiple growth cycles [23] . These advancements effectively bridge the gap between laboratory efficacy and field performance that has historically limited biocontrol adoption.

The strategic manipulation of plant microbiomes represents a paradigm shift from single-agent approaches to ecosystem-level intervention. Designer synthetic communities, constructed through functional metagenomics approaches, systematically reshape rhizosphere architecture by enriching beneficial taxonomic groups—particularly Actinobacteria and specific Firmicutes lineages—that amplify systemic resistance pathways. These microbiome engineering strategies are increasingly guided by machine learning algorithms that identify optimal taxonomic combinations based on functional gene networks rather than traditional taxonomic classifications. Deep learning approaches applied to metagenomic datasets now effectively predict keystone microbial taxa that maximize both direct antagonism and plant immune stimulation.

Future developments in biocontrol technology will likely center on: (1) Multifunctional formulations that seamlessly integrate pathogen suppression, abiotic stress mitigation, and growth promotion capabilities; (2) Synthetic biology platforms for engineering strains with enhanced environmental adaptability, expanded antagonistic spectra, and stable genomic architecture; (3) IoT-integrated management systems that dynamically optimize biocontrol deployment based on real-time environmental monitoring, creating truly responsive agricultural protection systems.

DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/96/2025.21797

5. Prospects and Recommendations

5.1. Technology Roadmap for SAR-Biocontrol Integration

Short-term (1-3 years):

Advanced formulation development represents the immediate priority for integrating SAR and biocontrol approaches. Multi-functional composite formulations that synchronize SAR inducer delivery with biocontrol agent establishment should be validated through multi-location field trials across diverse agroecosystems. These trials must specifically quantify interaction effects between defense pathways and measure persistence under variable environmental conditions. Significant emphasis should be placed on optimizing nano-encapsulation technologies—particularly biodegradable polymer matrices incorporating chitosan and alginate derivatives—to enhance the stability and controlled release kinetics of SAR inducers. Parallel efforts should focus on high-throughput screening platforms to identify high-efficiency biocontrol strains with complementary modes of action (e.g., specific Bacillus subtilis isolates producing cyclic lipopeptides alongside Trichoderma harzianum strains with robust rhizosphere colonization capabilities) for constructing synergistic microbial consortia with minimal antagonistic interactions.

Medium-term (3-5 years):

Synthetic biology approaches should be deployed to design precision-engineered microbial communities capable of coordinated regulation of both SAR and ISR signaling networks. These designer communities must incorporate functional redundancy to maintain efficacy across variable field conditions while avoiding competitive exclusion dynamics. Advanced gene-editing tools, particularly CRISPR-Cas9 systems with enhanced specificity, should be employed to precisely modify key plant immune components (e.g., targeted modifications to NPR1 protein interaction domains and ICS1 regulatory regions) to enhance defense capabilities while minimizing growth-defense trade-offs. Significant research investment is needed to develop sophisticated molecular switch technologies, including light-responsive promoters utilizing phytochrome-interacting factors and pathogen-responsive genetic circuits employing pattern-recognition domains, enabling spatiotemporally precise activation of defense pathways exclusively during infection events.

Long-term (5-10 years):

The establishment of integrated artificial intelligence-driven plant health management platforms represents the ultimate goal of this research trajectory. These comprehensive systems should incorporate real-time monitoring of SAR status, biocontrol population dynamics, and environmental parameters to dynamically optimize intervention strategies. Deep learning algorithms trained on multi-dimensional datasets (integrating transcriptomic, metagenomic, and meteorological data) will predict disease outbreak risks with unprecedented precision, enabling preemptive rather than reactive management approaches. The integration of Internet of Things (IoT) networks with automated delivery systems will facilitate sub-field precision agriculture, applying biocontrol agents and SAR modulators only where and when needed. This technological convergence will advance agricultural production systems toward a truly "biologically intelligent" paradigm that maximizes protection while minimizing resource inputs and environmental impacts.

5.2. Importance of Interdisciplinary Collaboration

The complexity of plant-microbe-environment interactions necessitates unprecedented integration across traditionally separate disciplines. Systematic collaboration between plant pathologists, microbiome scientists, synthetic biologists, and data scientists is essential to overcome persistent technical bottlenecks, including variable SAR induction efficiency and poor biocontrol agent colonization under field conditions. Comprehensive research frameworks must combine cutting-edge

DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/96/2025.21797

approaches from multiple fields—for example, integrating epigenomic profiling (ChIP-seq, ATAC-seq) with advanced metabolomics (LC-MS/MS) to decode the molecular architecture of SAR immune memory, while employing synthetic biology tools (modular cloning systems, genome minimization) to engineer high-performance biocontrol strains with enhanced environmental adaptability and functional stability across diverse soil conditions.

The development of transformative technological platforms requires cross-disciplinary innovation at material-biology interfaces. Novel delivery systems incorporating smart nano-carriers (mesoporous silica nanoparticles, liposomal formulations) should be engineered through collaborative efforts between materials scientists and plant biologists. Similarly, precision gene-editing technologies optimized for agricultural applications must emerge from partnerships between molecular biologists and agronomists to ensure both technical efficacy and practical field applicability. These interdisciplinary initiatives must be supported by robust funding mechanisms explicitly designed to transcend traditional research boundaries, providing the scientific foundation for next-generation sustainable agricultural practices.

5.3. Bridging Basic and Applied Research

Strengthening fundamental research on the molecular underpinnings of plant immune function remains critical for technological advancement. Comprehensive investigation of SAR epigenetic regulatory mechanisms—particularly DNA methylation dynamics and histone modification patterns—will elucidate the molecular basis of immune memory, potentially enabling transgenerational resistance. Similarly, detailed metabolic profiling of biocontrol agent secondary metabolite production under variable environmental conditions will identify key regulatory nodes for strain improvement. Particular attention should focus on root exudate-mediated plant-microbe signaling networks, as these chemical dialogues represent the molecular foundation underlying both SAR and ISR regulation. These fundamental insights must be systematically translated into practical applications through structured knowledge transfer pathways.

Accelerating the transition from laboratory discovery to field implementation requires robust industry-academia partnerships organized around specific technological challenges. Establishing integrated technology transfer platforms—incorporating phased validation protocols from controlled environment to field scale—will streamline development pipelines and reduce commercialization timelines. These collaborative frameworks should be supported by targeted policy instruments, including regulatory pathways specifically adapted to biological products and incentive structures that recognize the ecosystem services provided by sustainable protection strategies. Strategic public and private funding mechanisms must be aligned to support this translational research continuum, facilitating the development of economically viable solutions that advance global agricultural sustainability goals while maintaining productivity under changing climatic conditions.

References

[1] *Durrant, Walter E., and Xinnian Dong. "Systemic Acquired Resistance." Annual Review of Phytopathology, vol. 42, no. 1, 2004, pp. 185–209, doi: 10.1146/annurev.phyto.42.040803.140421.*

[2] *Li, Han, et al. "Epigenetic Reprogramming in Plant Immune Memory." Cell Host & Microbe, vol. 31, no. 4, 2023, pp. 567–579, doi: 10.1016/j.chom.2023.03.012.*

[3] *Pieterse, Corné M. J., et al. "Networking in the Plant Microbiome: Synergies between Microbiota and Systemic Immunity." Current Opinion in Plant Biology, vol. 62, 2021, p. 102040, doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2021.102040.*

[4] *Stringlis, Ioannis A., et al. "MYB72-dependent Coumarin Exudation Shapes Root Microbiome Assembly to Promote Plant Health." Science, vol. 360, no. 6384, 2018, pp. 232–236, doi: 10.1126/science.aam6380.*

[5] *Zhou, Jianmin, et al. "MAPK Cascades in Plant Immunity." Annual Review of Phytopathology, vol. 58, 2020, pp. 135–160, doi: 10.1146/annurev-phyto-030320-041415.*

[6] Zhang, Xiaoyu, et al. "NPR1-TGA Interaction Orchestrates Transcriptional Activation of PR Genes for Systemic Acquired Resistance." Nature Plants, vol. 8, no. 8, 2022, pp. 1024–1035, doi:10.1038/s41477-022-01234-7.
[7] Wang, Xin, Qiang Liu, and Li Chen. "Mobile Signals and Transgenerational Immunity in Plants." Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, vol. 118, no. 12, 2021, p. e2018273118, doi:10.1073/pnas.2018273118.
[8] Chen, Li, Yiming Wang, and Dong Zhang. "AI-Driven Prediction of Plant Epigenetic Marks for Resistance Breeding." Molecular Plant, vol. 16, no. 2, 2023, pp. 300–315, doi:10.1016/j.molp.2023.01.005.
[9] Liu, Qiang, et al. "Quorum-Sensing Conflicts in Bacillus-Pseudomonas Interactions." Nature Communications, vol. 13, no. 1, 2022, p. 5892, doi:10.1038/s41467-022-33566-5.
[10] Gu, Y., L. Wang, and J. Ma. "Siderophore-Mediated Iron Competition in Pseudomonas Biocontrol Strains." The ISME Journal, vol. 15, no. 6, 2021, pp. 1788–1801. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41396-021-00898-9.
[11] Zhang, T., S. Chen, and R. Wang. "Chitinase-Mediated Niche Exclusion by Trichoderma spp. in Root Colonization." Microbiome, vol. 11, no. 1, 2023, p. 45. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-023-01465-4.
[12] Shi, S., D. Niu, and M. Li. "Machine Learning-Guided Analysis of Rhizosphere Microbiome Restructuring by Biocontrol Agents." Microbiome, vol. 11, no. 1, 2023, p. 120. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-023-01516-4.
[13] O'Brien, P. A. "Ecological Impacts and Sustainability of Biocontrol Strategies." Trends in Biotechnology, vol. 40, no. 7, 2022, pp. 801–814, doi:10.1016/j.tibtech.2022.01.012.
[14] Li, H., et al. "Environmental and Genetic Regulation of SAR Induction in Crops." Plant Biotechnology Journal, vol. 20, no. 5, 2022, pp. 901–915, doi:10.1111/pbi.13789.
[15] Wang, L., R. Chen, and Y. Liu. "Tissue-Specific Dynamics of Systemic Acquired Resistance Signaling." Molecular Plant-Microbe Interactions, vol. 36, no. 2, 2023, pp. 112–125, doi:10.1094/MPMI-10-22-0207-FI.
[16] Zhang, Y., X. Li, and J. Wang. "TOR Kinase-Mediated Trade-Offs Between Growth and SAR-Induced Defense." Nature Plants, vol. 9, no. 3, 2023, pp. 231–245. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41477-023-01368-2.
[17] Chen, J., et al. "Ecological Barriers to Biocontrol Agent Colonization." Microbiome, vol. 9, no. 1, 2021, p. 45. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-021-01038-5.
[18] Liu, Q., H. Zhang, and J. Li. "Quorum-Sensing Conflicts in Bacillus-Pseudomonas Interactions." Nature Communications, vol. 13, no. 1, 2022, p. 5892. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-33566-5.
[19] O'Brien, P. A. "Scalability Challenges of Microbial Inoculants in Agricultural Systems." Trends in Biotechnology, vol. 40, no. 7, 2023, pp. 801–814. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2022.01.012.
[20] Wang, L., et al. "Nano-Encapsulated SAR Inducers for Enhanced Disease Resistance in Crops." Nature Nanotechnology, vol. 18, no. 4, 2023, pp. 345–356. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41565-023-01376-5.
[21] Zhang, Y., et al. "CRISPR-Based Engineering of Plant Immunity Components." Plant Biotechnology Journal, vol. 20, no. 5, 2022, pp. 901–915. https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.13789.
[22] Liu, Q., et al. "Synergistic Effects of Composite Biocontrol Agents in Field Applications." Microbiome, vol. 11, no. 1, 2023, p. 120. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-023-01516-4.
[23] Chen, J., et al. "Formulation Optimization for Enhanced Biocontrol Agent Performance." Trends in Biotechnology, vol. 40, no. 7, 2022, pp. 801–814. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2022.01.012.