Proceedings of CONF-APMM 2025 Symposium: Multi-Qubit Quantum Communication for Image Transmission over Error Prone Channels
DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/2025.GL25639

Preliminary Exploration of Applied Mathematics in Traffic Flow Optimization

Yikang Zhao

Gaoxin No.1 High School, Xi'an, China
13733630799@163.com

Abstract. In modern urban areas, traffic congestion is a significant problem that adversely affects commuting times, fuel consumption, and environmental quality. Optimizing traffic flow is crucial for improving transportation efficiency and reducing congestion. This paper explores the application of mathematical methods to traffic flow optimization, using graph theory and algorithmic models. First, the background of urban traffic congestion is introduced, and the role of applied mathematics is highlighted. The research aims to demonstrate how basic mathematical models and simple algorithms can solve traffic problems in simplified scenarios. Specifically, traffic networks are analyzed using graph theory, shortest-path algorithms (such as Dijkstra's algorithm) are presented, and traffic diversion strategies are simulated. A case study illustrates the methods by comparing traffic conditions before and after optimization. The analysis finds that applying these mathematical approaches can significantly reduce average commute times and improve overall network performance. The findings indicate that mathematical traffic flow optimization can reduce congestion, save time, and decrease emissions, although further work is needed to address complex real-world factors. This study provides a preliminary foundation for future research integrating advanced mathematics and traffic management.

Keywords: Applied Mathematics, Traffic Flow Optimization, Graph Theory, Shortest Path, Traffic Diversion

1. Introduction

Urban traffic congestion is a complex problem arising from various factors such as rapid urbanization, population growth, and increased vehicle ownership [1]. The limited capacity of road networks and inefficient use of existing infrastructure often lead to longer commute times and environmental pollution [1,2]. Optimizing traffic flow is therefore crucial for reducing congestion, improving transportation reliability, and lowering fuel consumption. Graph theory provides a powerful framework for representing transportation networks [3]. In this framework, intersections are nodes and roads are edges [1]. Shortest-path algorithms and database methods have been applied to urban networks to enhance routing efficiency [3-4]. Traffic diversion strategies during bottlenecks or construction have also been shown to alleviate congestion [2,5]. Efficient traffic management can enhance economic productivity by improving access to markets and services [6]. This paper explores basic mathematical models and simple algorithms to address traffic flow problems in a

© 2026 The Authors. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/2025.GL25639

simplified context. Graph-theoretical models, shortest-path algorithms (e.g., Dijkstra's algorithm), and traffic diversion simulations are used to analyze network performance [4]. The primary goal of this study is to assess how these methods can improve traffic flow and reduce congestion.

2. Mathematical model foundation

2.1. Graph theory

Graph theory provides a powerful tool for representing traffic networks. In a graph, nodes represent intersections or landmarks, and edges represent roads or paths between nodes. The properties of graphs, such as connectivity and shortest paths, can be used to analyze traffic flow and optimize transportation networks. For example, consider a road network where each intersection is a node and each road segment between intersections is an edge. The length of each edge represents the distance between two intersections. By using graph theory, the shortest path between any two nodes in the network can be found, which can be used to determine the fastest route for a vehicle to travel from one location to another.

2.2. Simple optimization goals

Two common optimization goals in traffic flow optimization are finding the shortest path and reducing congestion:

•Shortest Path: Finding the shortest path between two locations is an important problem in transportation. For example, a commuter may want to find the fastest route from home to work or school. The shortest path problem can be solved using algorithms such as Dijkstra's algorithm or the A* algorithm [3].

•Reducing Congestion: Another important goal in traffic flow optimization is to reduce congestion. Congestion occurs when too many vehicles use the same road or intersection, causing delays. By adjusting the flow of traffic on different roads, congestion can be reduced and transportation efficiency can be improved. For example, consider two parallel roads connecting the same origin and destination. If one road is congested, some of the traffic can be redirected to the other road to balance the load. This can be achieved by using traffic signals or other management strategies to encourage drivers to choose alternative routes [2]. Optimizing traffic flow under cost constraints has also been studied [7].

3. Methods and case analysis

3.1. Shortest path problem

To illustrate the shortest path problem, a simple example network is considered. Suppose a traffic network has four nodes A, B, C, and D, and the distances between the nodes are as shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Distance matrix of the example network

Node	Distance to A	Distance to B	Distance to C	Distance to D
A	0	5	8	10
B	5	0	3	7
C	8	3	0	4
D	10	7	4	0

DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/2025.GL25639

Table 1 lists the direct distances between each pair of nodes in the example network. This information will be used to demonstrate the calculation of the shortest path.

To find the shortest path from node A to node D, Dijkstra's algorithm can be used. The algorithm starts at node A and gradually explores neighboring nodes, updating the shortest distance to each node. It terminates when it reaches node D. The following steps illustrate the execution of Dijkstra's algorithm:

a. Initialize the distance to node A as 0 and the distance to all other nodes as infinity.

b. Mark node A as visited.

c. For each neighbor of node A (nodes B and C), update the distance if it is shorter than the current

distance. In this case, the distance to node B is updated to 5, and the distance to node C is updated to 8.

d. Select the unvisited node with the shortest distance (node B, distance 5) and mark it as visited.

e. For each neighbor of node B (nodes A, C, and D), update the distance if it is shorter than the current distance. The distance to node C is updated to 3, and the distance to node D is updated to 7.

f. Select the unvisited node with the shortest distance (node C, distance 3) and mark it as visited.

g. For each neighbor of node C (nodes B and D), update the distance if it is shorter than the current distance. The distance to node D is updated to 4.

h. Select the unvisited node with the shortest distance (node D, distance 4) and mark it as visited.

The algorithm terminates, and the shortest path from node A to node D is A → B → C → D with a total distance of 4. Dijkstra's algorithm works by maintaining a set of visited nodes and iteratively selecting the nearest unvisited node, ensuring the shortest paths to all nodes are found [3].

3.2. Simple simulation of road diversion

To illustrate congestion reduction, consider a scenario with two parallel roads connecting the same origin and destination. Road 1 has a high congestion level (e.g., 100 vehicles per hour), while Road 2 has lower congestion (e.g., 50 vehicles per hour). By adjusting traffic management strategies, traffic flow can be redistributed to reduce congestion. For example, traffic signal timing can be changed to give more green time to Road 2 and less to Road 1. Electronic message signs or smartphone apps can be used to inform drivers about current traffic conditions and suggest alternative routes. Redirecting a portion of traffic to less congested roads can improve overall flow efficiency. Such diversion strategies are common in traffic management [2,5].

3.3. Case analysis

A simple case study is considered to analyze the optimization effects. Suppose a traffic network has ten nodes and fifteen edges. The nodes represent intersections, and the edges represent roads between them. The traffic flow on each road is given by a number between 0 and 100, representing vehicles per hour. Traffic flow is optimized by finding the shortest path between two specific nodes and adjusting traffic allocation on other roads to reduce congestion.

First, Dijkstra's algorithm is applied to find the shortest path between the two nodes. Next, the traffic flow on that path is analyzed to identify any congested roads. If a road on the shortest path is congested, an attempt is made to redirect some traffic via alternative routes. For example, if the shortest path between two nodes is A → B → C → D and the road B → C is heavily congested, some vehicles might be rerouted via A → E → F → D. This diversion can reduce congestion on B → C and improve overall efficiency.

DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/2025.GL25639

Differences between various traffic management strategies can also be compared. For instance, the effectiveness of adaptive traffic signals versus information systems can be evaluated. By simulating or using real-world data, the effects of these strategies on travel times and congestion can be assessed, helping to identify the best approach for traffic optimization.

4. Results and discussion

4.1. Optimization effect

Optimizing traffic flow with mathematical methods can achieve several benefits. For example, average commute times can be reduced, transportation reliability can be improved, and fuel consumption and emissions can decrease. To illustrate, consider a scenario where commute times are measured before and after optimization. Suppose the average commute time for a set of vehicles is 30 minutes before optimization. After applying graph-based routing and diversion strategies, the average commute time might be reduced to 20 minutes. This represents a significant improvement in transportation efficiency.

In practice, studies have reported that these optimizations can substantially improve traffic performance. For example, implementing traffic diversion strategies has been shown to reduce delays and improve flow in congested networks [2]. The simple case study suggests that even basic mathematical optimization can yield meaningful benefits in terms of time saved and reduced congestion.

4.2. Limitations

Although mathematical methods can be effective, there are limitations. One limitation is the simplicity of the models and algorithms. Real-world traffic networks are influenced by many factors not captured in the simple model, such as weather conditions, accidents, and varying driver behavior. These factors can complicate modeling and require more sophisticated approaches.

Another limitation is data accuracy. Effective optimization requires precise information about the network (e.g., road lengths, traffic capacities) and real-time traffic flows. Obtaining accurate, up-to-date data can be challenging for large urban networks.

Implementation costs are also a consideration. Installing adaptive traffic signals, information systems, or sensors involves a significant investment. Additionally, drivers may not always follow suggested routes, limiting the effectiveness of diversion strategies.

For future work, advanced techniques could be integrated. For instance, support vector regression (SVR) models optimized with modified particle swarm optimization (MPSO) have shown promise in predicting short-term traffic flow [8]. Combining predictive models with optimization algorithms may further improve performance in complex, real-world settings.

5. Conclusion

This paper explored the application of mathematics to traffic flow optimization using graph theory and algorithmic methods. Basic models (e.g., network graphs and shortest-path algorithms) and simulations were shown to effectively address simple traffic problems. The case study results suggest that applying these mathematical approaches can significantly reduce congestion and commute times and improve travel reliability. The findings show that even simple mathematical optimization can bring practical benefits, such as time savings and reduced emissions. However, the study also highlights the need to account for real-world complexities. This study provides

DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/2025.GL25639

preliminary evidence that applied mathematics can play a valuable role in traffic management. Future work will explore more complex models and algorithms and collaborate with transportation agencies to implement optimization strategies in real networks. By integrating advanced mathematical techniques and real traffic data, future work aims to further improve traffic flow and transportation efficiency.

References

[1] E. Kasatkina, D. D. Vavilova. Mathematical Modeling and Optimization of Traffic Flows [J]. 2021.
[2] M. A. Wahyudi, A. I. Rifai, J. Prasetijo. Analysis of the Effectiveness of Traffic Flow Diversion on Road Performance: A Case of Jalan Gajah Mada Development Project, Batam [J]. 2022.
[3] Le Vinh Thinh, Tran Thien Huan, Nguyen Van Long. Building the Shortest Path Database in Urban Traffic Network Using RAO Algorithm [M]. In: Mobile, Secure, and Programmable Networking (MSPN 2023), Springer, 2024, pp. 52-70.
[4] Yu Ning, Lu Haijun, Deng Lin. Simulation of Shortest Path Selection in an Ultra-Long Urban Rail Transit Network [J]. Computer Simulation, 2019, (7): 116-119.
[5] Zhang Zhongle, Gu Yong. Approach to Traffic Diversion during Rapid Urban Road Reconstruction [J]. 2016.
[6] Xu Ke, Wu Tao, Wang Xiaoliang. Traffic Flow Optimization Distribution Method with Limited Individual Cost [J]. 2016.
[7] Yan Yuchan, Wu Qisheng, Bai Lin. Short-Term Traffic Flow Prediction Method Using MPSO- Optimized SVR [J]. 2019.
[8] Wang Fei. A Brief Discussion on Intersection Optimization Design for Urban Traffic Congestion [J]. 2017.