Proceedings of CONF-MPCS 2026 Symposium: Theoretic Physics and Plasma Physics
DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/2026.DL33668

Comparative Analysis of Cauchy Integral Formula and Residue Theorem in Contour Integration

Jingxuan Xia

Wuhan Britain-China School, Wuhan, China
jingxuan.xia@outlook.com

Abstract. Contour integration is one of the most basic methods of complex analysis that has widespread use in physics, engineering, and applied mathematics. Some of the strongest methods to consider such integrals include the Cauchy Integral Formula and the Residue Theorem. Despite being two foundations of complex analysis, the relationship between the two and the relative practical benefits have been of much pedagogical concern. The paper will provide a comparative analysis of these two central theorems in detail using a well-selected concrete case study. The study conclusively proves the computational equivalence of the two methods by comparing a particular contour integral between the two methods in a pole singularity scenario. The analysis given above shows that the Cauchy Integral Formula is a particular case of the more general and flexible Residue Theorem. The former is an intuitive and direct method to functions whose pole structure is simple, but the latter is more general, efficient, and powerful to functions with higher-order poles, multiple isolated singularities, or even essential singularities. The argument also explains the procedural differences and philosophical relationships of the two theorems. After all, the comparative study offers simple, effective instructions on how to choose a method to tackle the problem of contour integration in academic and practical scenarios, which will advance the level of understanding and the ability to compute.

Keywords: Complex Analysis, Contour Integration, Cauchy Integral Formula, Residue Theorem, Singularities

1. Introduction

Complex analysis is considered to be one of the most beautiful and powerful fields of mathematics which offers beautiful solutions to many problems which cannot be solved by real-variable calculus only. Contour integration is a fundamental method in this area, enabling scientists and engineers to compute integrals along closed curves in the complex plane, and has become an invaluable tool in physics, electrical engineering, signal processing, and applied mathematics. Some troublesome real integrals, particularly improper integrals and oscillatory integrals, are efficiently solved by converting them into contour integrals in the complex plane.

The most representative of these many theorems that underlie contour integration are the Cauchy Integral Formula and the Residue Theorem. Proposed by Augustin-Louis Cauchy in the early 19th century, the Cauchy Integral Formula demonstrates the property of analytic functions which are

© 2026 The Authors. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/2026.DL33668

distinct; their values within a domain are entirely determined by their values on the boundary. Subsequently, the Residue Theorem was formulated, as a much more generalized version, allowing it to be used on functions having multiple isolated singularities. These two theorems are the essence of the complex integration theory and are important items in the study of mathematics.

Over the past few years, there has been comprehensive research by scholars on the methods of complex integral calculation and associated theories. Yang summarized the usual methods of calculating complex integrals and is also the reference used to choose the traditional solutions [1]. Jiang talked about the various solution approaches of complex integrals on the same closed curve, adding depth to thinking of solving integrals [2]. Chu et al. advanced and evaluated a category of sensible fraction contour integrals, broadening the helpfulness of integral equations [3]. By using various contours, Wang investigated the problems in integrating multi-valued complex functions with cube root terms [4], which gave an idea of how special complex functions could be processed. Lin studied the theoretical relationship between Cauchy theorem and residue theory as a prelude to examining the inner relationship of the two fundamental theorems [5]. Moreover, Ladeinde was the first to systematically present the engineering uses of complex variables [6], such as asymptotic analysis and integral transformation, indicative of the practical usefulness of contour integration. Despite the calculation procedures and theoretical relations of complex integrals done in the existing literature, intuitive and specific comparative analysis on the application cases, computational performance and rational connection between the Cauchy Integral Formula and the Residue Theorem is still lacking.

Even though they are classic conclusions, these two theorems are often confused by many students, not to mention the researchers who are confused about their relationship and the distinction. They are not aware of when to apply the Cauchy Integral Formula and when to apply the Residue Theorem. This paper will attempt to explain this confusion with a real life and a typical example. The theoretical ground of the two methods is introduced first and then solve the same contour integral using the two methods and lastly the computation steps in this process, the limits to which the methods can be used and logical associations of the methods. The remainder of the paper will be structured in the following way: Section 2 provides the theoretical background and the case study; Sections 3 provide the detailed solutions of the Cauchy formula and the Residue Theorem; Section 4will be a summary of the entire text.

2. Theoretical foundations

2.1. The Cauchy Integral Formula and its extensions

One of the most significant findings of complex analysis is the Cauchy Integral Formula. It is indicative of the close condition of analyticity: the value of an analytic function at any interior point of a domain can be exhaustively determined by its values on the boundary [7].

Theorem 1 (Cauchy Integral Formula). Let D be a bounded domain with positively oriented boundary contour C. Suppose $f(z)$ is analytic in D and continuous on $\overline{D} = D \cup C$. Then for any $z \in D$,

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi - z} d\xi \tag{1}$$

With some rearrangement of the formula, one can obtain a direct technique of calculating the integrals of present study. If the integrand can be written as $\frac{f(\xi)}{\xi - z}$ where $f(\xi)$ is analytic inside and

DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/2026.DL33668

on C, then the value of the integral is simply $2\pi i f(z)$.

Also, differentiating both sides of the Cauchy Integral Formula, the author derives the higher-order derivative formula, which generalizes the method to higher-order pole integrals.

Theorem 2 (Higher-Order Derivative Formula). In the same circumstances as in Theorem 1, the derivatives of all orders of $f(z)$ are defined in D, and in any case that n is a positive integer,

$$f^{(n)}(z) = \frac{n!}{2\pi i} \oint_C \frac{f(\xi)}{(\xi - z)^{n+1}} d\xi, \; n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \tag{2}$$

This formula enables us to calculate integrals, the integrand of which has poles of degree n+1. The Cauchy Integral Formula (and its generalisation) however, have a very important restriction: they are only applicable when the contour contains a single singularity. When one has more than one singularity within the contour, the contour should be subdivided into a number of small contours with only one singularity and the formula should be used individually.

2.2. The residue theorem and residue calculation

The Residue Theorem is a very general extension of the Cauchy Integral Formula to functions with arbitrary isolated singularities. In order to comprehend this theorem, the term of a residue is presented. For a function $f(z)$ with an isolated singularity at $z = a$, it is possible to expand $f(z)$ in a Laurent series around a:

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} c_n (z - a)^n \tag{3}$$

The coefficient c_{-1} of the term $(z - a)^{-1}$ in this expansion is called the residue of $f(z)$ at a, denoted $\mathrm{Res}[f(z), a]$. The significance of this coefficient arises from the fact that when integrating the Laurent series term by term around a closed contour encircling a, all terms except the $n = -1$ term integrate to zero. The integral of the $n = -1$ term is exactly $2\pi i c_{-1}$, which is the core idea of the Residue Theorem [8].

Theorem 3 (Residue Theorem). Let D be a bounded domain with positively oriented boundary C. If $f(z)$ is analytic in D except for finitely many isolated singularities $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$ inside D, then

$$\oint_C f(z)\, dz = 2\pi i \sum_{k=1}^{n} Res[f(z), a_k] \tag{4}$$

There are some residue calculation formulas. Firstly, for a simple pole at a:

$$Res[f, a] = (z - a) f(z) \tag{5}$$

If $f(z) = \frac{\varphi(z)}{\psi(z)}$, then $\mathrm{Res}[f, a] = \frac{\varphi(a)}{\psi'(a)}$. Secondly, for a pole of order m at a:

$$Res[f, a] = \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \frac{d^{m-1}}{dz^{m-1}} \left[(z - a)^m f(z)\right] \tag{6}$$

Thirdly, for essential singularities, residues are obtained from the Laurent coefficient of $(z - a)^{-1}$.

DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/2026.DL33668

2.3. Case study: a specific contour integral

To compare the two procedures, the author takes into consideration the following integral that is a textbook example of illustrating the connection between the two theorems:

$$I = \oint_{|z|=2} \frac{5z-2}{z(z-1)^2}\, dz \tag{7}$$

This is an integral about the positively oriented circle $|z| = 2$, which contains two singularities of the integrand $g(z)$. The first singularity is at $z = 0$, which is a simple pole, and the second is at $z = 1$, which is a pole of order 2. Both singularities are poles so the integral can be evaluated using both methods. This case is not an exception as it involves two types of poles, which enables us to compare the features of the two approaches in full.

3. Result

3.1. Solution via the Cauchy integral formula

As there are two singularities in the contour, the author is unable to apply the Cauchy formula directly to the contour, because the formula assumes that there is only one singularity in the contour. The author thus dissects the original contour into two little non-overlapping circles C_1, which is a small circle around $z = 0$, and C_2, which is a small circle around $z = 1$ [9]. The generalized Cauchy theorem states that the integral of the original contour is the same as the sum of integrals of the two small contours:

$$I = \oint_{C_1} g(z)dz + \oint_{C_2} g(z)dz \tag{8}$$

For the contour C_1, which encloses only the singularity at $z = 0$, rewrite the integrand to separate the singular part from the analytic part:

$$g(z) = \frac{f_1(z)}{z},\ where f_1(z) = \frac{5z-2}{(z-1)^3} \tag{9}$$

Since $f_1(z)$ is analytic inside C_1 (its only singularity is at $z = 1$, which is outside C_1, apply the basic Cauchy Integral Formula. Evaluating f_1 at $z = 0$, get $f_1(0) = -2$. Therefore:

$$\oint_{C_1} \frac{f_1(z)}{z}\, dz = 2\pi i f_1(0) = 2\pi i \cdot (-2) = -4\pi i \tag{10}$$

For the contour C_2, which encloses only the singularity at $z = 1$, rewrite the integrand

$$g(z) = \frac{f_2(z)}{(z-1)^2},\ where f_2(z) = \frac{5z-2}{z} \tag{11}$$

Apply the higher-order derivative formula with $n = 1$, since the denominator is of order 2. First, compute the derivative of $f_2(z)$:

$$f_2^{\prime(z)} = \frac{2}{z^2},\ f_2^{\prime(1)} = 2 \tag{12}$$

Therefore, the integral over C_2 is:

DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/2026.DL33668

$$\oint_{C_2} \frac{f_2(z)}{(z-1)^2}\,dz = \frac{2\pi i}{1!}\,f_2'^{(1)} = 4\pi i \tag{13}$$

Combining the results from the two contours, the following result is obtained:

$$I = -4\pi i + 4\pi i = 0. \tag{14}$$

3.2. Solution via the residue theorem

The direct computation of the integral is possible, without a contour decomposition, using the Residue Theorem. The residues at the two singularities within the contour only have to be computed. These benefits are more pronounced when the number of singularities grows.

For the simple pole at $z = 0$, use the simple pole residue formula, it is found that

$$Res[g, 0] = lim_{z\to 0} z \cdot g(z) = lim_{z\to 0} \frac{5z-2}{(z-1)^2} = -2 \tag{15}$$

For the second-order pole at $z = 1$, use the order-2 pole residue formula, it is calculated that

$$Res[g, 1] = lim_{z\to 1} \frac{d}{dz}\left[(z-1)^2 g(z)\right] = lim_{z\to 1} \frac{2}{z^2} = 2 \tag{16}$$

Applying the Residue Theorem, one finally gets that

$$I = 2\pi i(Res[g, 0] + Res[g, 1]) = 2\pi i(-2 + 2) = 0 \tag{17}$$

3.3. Comparative analysis

The case study reveals that every step of the Cauchy method has an exact counterpart in the Residue method. This is not a coincidence: the Cauchy Integral Formula is simply a special case of the Residue Theorem. For an integrand of the form $\frac{f(z)}{(z-a)^{n+1}}$, where $f(z)$ is analytic at a , the only singularity is a pole of order $n+1$ at a .The residue of this integrand at a is exactly $\frac{f^{(n)}(a)}{n!}$. Substituting this into the Residue Theorem gives us exactly the Cauchy Higher-Order Derivative Formula. For $n = 0$, this reduces to the basic Cauchy Integral Formula. This proves that the Cauchy formulas are nothing more than the Residue Theorem applied to the special case of a single pole [10].

Both methods have very similar computational complexity on simple cases with one or two poles. The calculations made in the case study were near the same calculations with the only difference being the terminologies used to explain the calculations. But the more singularities there are within the contour, the more efficient the Residue Theorem. In the Cauchy method, one breaks the contour down into a series of small contours, one contour per singularity. This becomes more and more tedious with the increase of the singularities as the author is required to treat the contours individually. By contrast, in the Residue method one only has to compute the residue at each singularity, but this can often be systematically computed, with no need to manipulate the contour itself.

Generality is the greatest difference between the two methods. The Cauchy formula can deal with pole singularities only, and it demands the separation of integrand singular part and analytic part. It cannot handle essential singularities at all, because these singularities have infinitely many singular terms in their Laurent expansion, so there is no finite k that allows to write the integrand in the

DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/2026.DL33668

form $\frac{f(z)}{(z-a)^k}$. In contrast, the Residue Theorem works for any isolated singularity, including essential singularities, because it only requires the coefficient of the $(z-a)^{-1}$ term, regardless of what other terms are present. This implies that the Residue Theorem is able to deal with a much wider range of functions than the Cauchy formula.

The Cauchy formula gives an intuitive introduction to contour integration to simple textbook problems with one or two poles. It makes students see the essence of analytic functions and the way their values of the boundaries define their inner values. It is an excellent instructional aid in presenting the idea of contour integration. In practice, however, when dealing with research problems, multiple singularities, essential singularities, or complex contours are of interest, the Residue Theorem is nearly always the technique of interest. It is more general, more efficient, it does not require complex contour decomposition.

4. Conclusion

The paper has included the detailed comparative analysis of the formula of Cauchy Integral and the formula of Residue Theatre of contour integration. It has been shown through a concrete case study that both approaches give exactly the same answer to pole singularities, however they vary greatly in terms of generality and efficiency. It has been demonstrated that the Cauchy formula is a particular instance of the Residue Theorem, which is used in simple cases with isolated poles. The Residue Theorem, however, is the more powerful in that it offers a general framework that can address arbitrary isolated singularities, which can be applied to complex problems. The analysis offers a straightforward understanding of how to choose the method to be applied to contour integration problems by students and practitioners to know when to apply the simpler, more intuitive Cauchy formula, and when to apply the more general Residue Theorem. The results of the comparison also confirm the validity and compatibility of the two theorems in both theoretical logic and computational conclusions that helps in further elaborating the main principles of the complex integration. To teach and practice, the work could assist the learners in acquiring swiftly the necessary conditions and the steps of action of both methods in a short time, prevent using the techniques in miscalculation, and enhance the effectiveness and precision of the solution of the complicated problems of integrals.

References

[1] Yang, K. R. (2025). Research on common calculation methods of complex integrals. Journal of Heihe University, 16(4), 185–188.
[2] Jiang, Y. (2023). Research on multiple solutions of complex integrals on the same closed curve. Studies in College Mathematics, 26(3), 47–50.
[3] Chu, Y. W., Zhou, Y., Zhu, X. M., & Xu, X. Y. (2025). Generalizations and calculations for a class of contour integrals containing rational fractions. Journal of Fuyang Normal University (Natural Science), 42(4), 1–4.
[4] Wang, D. C. (2025). Further research on the integral for the multi-valued complex functions containing cubic-root terms using different contours. Journal of Xianyang Normal University, 40(4), 12–14.
[5] Lin, Z. Q. (2021). On Cauchy's theorem and residue theorem of complex variable functions. Studies in College Mathematics, 24(1), 74–76.
[6] Ladeinde, F. (2024). Applications of Complex Variables: Asymptotics and Integral Transforms. Berlin, Boston: De Gruyter. https: //doi.org/10.1515/9783111351179
[7] Zhou, W. P., Liu, Y. F., & Song, T. L. (2022). Two types of infinite integrals handled by residue theorem. Physics and Engineering, 32(1), 56–59.
[8] Zhou, Y. Q., & Huang, W. T. (2022). Further discussion on two types of infinite integral treated by residue theorem. College Physics, 41(9), 24–27.

DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/2026.DL33668

[9] Yi, C. F., & Pan, H. Y. (2010). Cauchy integral formula and its application in integrals. Journal of Jiangxi Normal University (Natural Science Edition), 34(1), 5-7, 12.
[10] Zhang, X., & Qi, J. (2018). Real generalization of Cauchy integral formula. Journal of Yili Normal University (Natural Science Edition), 12(4), 12-16, 86.