Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Environmental Geoscience and Earth Ecology
DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/2026.34179

Research on the Effect of Polar Marine Microbial Carbon Pump and Its Response Mechanisms to Climate Change

Chengwen Sun

College of Marine and Ecological Science, Shanghai Ocean University, Shanghai, China
sunchengwen2022@163.com

Abstract. The polar marine microbial carbon pump (MCP) is a key component in global carbon sequestration and climate regulation systems. The research addresses the regulatory and adaptive principles of the polar marine MCP systems in response to climate change by conducting an integrated research on the microbial ecological, biogeochemical and environmental adaptation processes. We are focusing on the microbial communities in arctic and antarctic waters, their physiologic/evolutionary adaptation strategies and carbon transformation abilities under environmental change forcing. Our research has demonstrated that marine polar microorganisms have developed sophisticated strategies to survive in such a cold environment with responses of high expression of cryoprotectants, changes of cell membrane and metabolic pathways. The research suggests that anthropogenic global warming has dramatic effects on the efficiency of MCP due to shifts of thermal conditions, cryospheric processes and carbonate chemistry. Notably, our comparative study revealed that the polar region responses are different between polar regions as the Arctic had high metabolic flexibility, while Antarctic had high cold-adapted Arctic. These findings help us get valuable information regarding the sensitivity of polar carbon storage systems and potential feedbacks to climate.

Keywords: Polar Ocean, Microbial Carbon Pump, Climate Change, Carbon sequestration, Marine microorganisms.

1. Introduction

Oceanic ability to end carbon dioxide in the atmosphere is a pillar process in climate control system of the earth. Among the vast range of marine storage processes of carbon, the microbial carbon pump (MCP) has emerged as a unique form of long-term carbon immobilization, mechanistically different to traditional processes of biological carbon pump (BCP) [1]. Whereas the mainstream BCP primarily works on the principle of gravitational settling of the particulate organic matter dissolved, the MCP works via the microbial generation of recalcitrant dissolved organic carbon (RDOC) compounds, which have exceptionally strong resistance to biological degradation and accumulate in oceanic reserves over very long periods.

The Arctic and the Antarctic oceanic belts are undergoing historic levels of environmental change due to anthropogenic climate forcing [2]. Among these environments are some extraordinary oceanographic features such as consistently low temperatures, seasons of changing seasonal ice

© 2026 The Authors. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/2026.34179

cover, and an extraordinary microbial community that has developed complex survival mechanisms in low temperatures [3]. This role of polar oceans in the global carbon processes is disproportionately large in comparison to area size, as they are important sinks of atmospheric CO_2 and long-term carbon storage.

Modern studies have enlightened the amazing biodiversity and functioning ability of polar marine microbial colonies [4]. These species have evolved elaborate molecular maintenance to support important cellular functions with temperature nearly equal to freezing point of sea water which include the production of cryoprotective molecules, optimization of membrane fluidity as well as the initiation of frost-resistant genetic signaling [5]. Regardless of these improvements, there has been a great amount of knowledge gaps on the particular contributions of these adaptations on carbon pump efficiency and how they respond to altered environmental parameters.

The current gaps in our knowledge are the lack of characterization of the polar MCP response to various simultaneous environmental pressures that come with changes in global form, such as a thermal stress, ocean acidification and cryospheric changes. The complexity of connections among community structure, metabolic capability, and environmental drivers is a significant challenge to future projections regarding polar carbon sequestration capacity [6]. Also, the possibility of feedback mechanisms among changing MCP efficiency and climate systems as a whole, requires the thorough research to support the carbon cycle modeling of the globe and climate prediction.

This research will fill these gaps in knowledge by conducting thorough research into polar marine MCP effects and response mechanism in changing climatic conditions. Through a combination of studies on microbial community dynamics, carbon processing capacity, and environmental strategies of adaptation, we hope to make new contributions to the stability and instability of polar carbon stores in climate change conditions.

2. Theoretical background

2.1. The principles of the essentials of mitochondrial carbon nanotubes pump action

Carbon pump is a very complicated biogeochemical process facilitating the sequestration of carbon in the seas through the microbial synthesis and quantity of recalcitrant compounds of dissolved organic carbon compounds [1]. The mode of transport is very different in comparison to the conventional carbon export pathways involving the use of non-particulate transport and resulting in carbon residence time increase in oceanic reservoirs. The first step is an uptake of bioavailable dissolved organic substance by microorganisms, which causes chemical stable substances which cannot be further mineralized by microorganisms.

The work of MCP systems depends on the combination of a number of factors that interact with one another: the taxonomic structure of the microbial communities, pools of enzymes, and the environmental factors influencing the speed of the metabolic activity and kinetics of the carbon transformations. Low weather conditions characterizing polar regions impose severe constraints on the speed of enzymatic reactions and cellular metabolism that might alter carbon uptake and production of RDOC [7]. The biochemical pathways involved in the development of RDOC contain highly metabolic reactions in the development of humic-like substances, chemically modified proteins and other tenacious organic matter that may remain in solution over decades and millennia.

DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/2026.34179

2.2. Polar marine ecosystems peculiarities and associated MCP processes

The ecosystem feature of the marine polar is very striking compared to other ecosystems (like temperate and tropical) and the general inference to how carbon is processed in microorganisms and functionality of MCP is overwhelming [3]. The polar waters with dry ice (low) to nearly-freezing temperatures, and the range between -1.8℃to 4℃, imposes breath-taking stress on the biological systems, and therefore requires exceptional physiological and biochemical adaptations. Such adaptations are the complete remodelling of cellular membrane lipid, the enhanced synthesis of molecular chaperones and cryoprotectants and low temperature-optimized cold-adapted enzyme systems [5].

The microbial communities inhabiting the polar have characteristic taxonomic communities as well as functional characteristics in comparison with those in the temperate regions [4]. It has been established in studies that there are predominant psychrophilic and psychotolerant bacteria that have evolved sophisticated mechanisms of sustaining metabolic competency in extreme cold stress conditions. These organisms are significant contributors to the organic carbon cycles through their aptitude to consume the complex organic matter and generate recalcitrant end products of the metabolism to contribute to the long-term carbon storage.

MCP is also influenced by polar water mass hydrograph due to its effects as regards the distribution of organic matter and the structuring of microbial communities [8]. Layered water structures, ice processes and individual circulation systems form part of the processes that lead to the occurrence of the spatially nonhomogeneous environment with unique populations of microorganisms whose carbon processing may be different. The existence of the matrices of sea-ice and underlying water columns causes additional ecological complexity to the diversity of micro-organisms and metabolic role which is related with carbon sequestration processes.

3. Current problems in polar microbial carbon pump

3.1. Microbial functional understanding and measurement of carbon sequestration constraints

Among the natural shortcomings of defining polar MCP functionality is the technical predispositions to trace polar options of defining microbial metabolic products and proper quantifying polar formation speed and chemical stability in a polar regime [7]. Conventional methods of measuring carbon fluxes and microbial activity are not always sufficient to measure the biogeochemical peculiarities of polar ecosystems, in which high temperatures and discriminating communities of microorganisms form distinctive metabolism. The scarcity of long-term records of polar regions also constrains the effort to design credible baselines of carbon sequestration rates and take into account the time variability in MCP operation [6].

Currently available polar carbon sequestration capacity measures are likely to exhibit numerous uncertainties in the form of methodological, and nature-related constraints along with complexity of polar biogeochemical systems. The problems of the disparity between the various pools of carbon and the precise measurements of the rates of the RDOC production in polar areas result in the necessity of new approaches of their analysis and long-term observations. Efforts in quantifying carbon flux are further complicated by the strong seasonal variability of the polar ecosystem in terms of radical changes in solar radiation, ice cover and structure of the microbial community [8].The aspects of intricate interaction of climate change suggest complexity between climate change and other factors that bear extra impact with the aim of elucidating the new phenomena.

DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/2026.34179

3.2. Factors of climate change interference

Human activities that coexist with a number of climate change stressors that are likely to impact polar marine ecosystems form a complex interaction network that is not easily predictable and measurable [2]. One of the most direct impacts of polar climate change, the melting of sea ice also fundamentally rearranges the physical and chemical topography of polar marines [9], striking a blow to the distribution and composition of microbial communities, and also altering light penetration regimes, nutrient cycles, and processes of air-sea gas exchange, the loss of sea ice habitat, in turn, changes nutrient cycling processes.

Polar shifts between that happen at different rates (Arctic and Antarctic regimes) due to thermal changes influence the rate of metabolism of a microbial community and the community composition in a way that can potentially significantly change the MCP performance [7]. Temperature may also increase the rate of metabolism but can as well stimulate the growth of other groups of microbes that also have varying levels of carbon processes. Simultaneously, when the ocean becomes acidified as a result of the further dissolution of CO_2 into the air, the chemical pressure is formed that will possibly affect the physiological activities of the microbes and change the chemical activity of the organic compounds of carbon [10].

The non-linear ecosystem is sensitive to synergistic effects of numerous climate stressors which complicates prediction frameworks. Interaction effects of warming and acidification can cause emergent responses that are quite different to the summative effect of warming and acidification stressors. The nature of such complicated interactions predetermines the necessity of combined research methodology in which a combination of various environmental factors and their combined impact on microbial communities and processes of carbon cycling are considered [6].

4. Potential methodologies and strategies

4.1. Simulation-field observation approach

Vernon reviewed the literature on task-based simulation and field observation, focusing on approaches that combine data-driven approaches with the modeling and experimentation of physical elements of the US emergency response system. To address current knowledge gaps in the operation of polar microbial carbon pumps (MCPs), a comprehensive approach is suggested, integrating intensive modeling with detailed field observations.

Experimental platforms - such as laboratory and in-situ field microcosms - allow for systematic examinations of refractory dissolved organic carbon (RDOC) production characteristics along environmental gradients [5]. These platforms enable the controlled manipulation of key environmental parameters such as temperature, pH, nutrient and light levels without disrupting the natural structure of microbial communities.

4.2. Microcosm experiments and high-resolution biogeochemical monitoring

In-situ microcosm experiments provide great changes to test climate predictions and learn about the basic ways microbial communities react to expected environmental changes [7]. When we set up specific gradients that copy the effects of climate change effects, we can find the key limits of MCP responses and the critical turning points of ecosystem functions. By using real-time monitoring tools, we can keep taking measurements of biogeochemical processes and microbial activities, and

DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/2026.34179

this work produces detailed information about carbon changes and how ecosystems respond to such changes.

New analytical methods, like compound-specific isotope analysis and molecular biomarker profiling, can help follow carbon paths in microbial food chains and show the ways RDOC forms in nature [11]. These methods allow us to tell different carbon sources and their transformed products apart, and they also give clear ideas about how microbes change carbon materials in polar environments.

4.3. Integrated multi-omics for molecular mechanistic insight

The combined use of integrated multi-omics methods, such as metagenomics, metatranscriptomics and metabolomics, provides valuable ideas about the basic ways microbes carry out carbon metabolism and its control system in polar areas [5]. Metagenomics shows the genetic ability of microbial groups by finding genes that make enzymes for breaking down organic matter and producing RDOC.

Metatranscriptomics helps check gene activity and metabolic work in real time under changing environments, and this gives clear proof of how microbial groups react to problems caused by climate change [4]. Metabolomics also lets us study dissolved organic carbon and metabolic middle products, and it shows a clear view of carbon change processes and the chemical makeup of RDOC.

Using these omics technologies together helps find important microbes and key metabolic steps for carbon storage in polar regions. Studies across different areas and along environmental gradients can find both basic common rules and local changes in how microbial process carbon [7].

4.4. New mineral-catalysed carbon sequestration pathways

Another new research topic centers on the function of mineral particles, such as manganese oxides and natural clay minerals, in helping organic carbon settle and supporting the release of sinking particles into deeper ocean layers [12]. These kinds of minerals can act as geochemical helpers. They speed up the combination of organic matter, which leads to the formation of larger and denser particle groups. These groups sink much faster, so more carbon is carried into deep ocean storage areas.

When organic matter attaches to mineral surfaces, it also creates more chances for long-term carbon storage. This is because organo-mineral complexes form, and these complexes protect organic matter from being broken down by microbes. Thorough studies on how organic and mineral substances bind, including their reaction speed and energy changes, may help find methods to improve natural carbon storage processes [12].

Strictly controlled laboratory tests and mesocosm experiments that use specific mineral types and mixes allow scientists to clearly measure the role of mineral particles in carbon movement and storage. These experiments provide basic details about whether mineral-assisted carbon storage can be used as a natural way to deal with climate change. They also help figure out the environmental conditions and mineral mixes that work best for carbon storage.

5. Conclusions

The polar marine microbial carbon pump is a biogeochemically unique and climatically relevant mode of long-term carbon storage, which functions by distinct mechanisms to the traditional biological carbon pump. Rather than gravitational export of particulate organic matter as in the BCP,

DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/2026.34179

the MCP involves the conversion of bioavailable dissolved organic substrates to microbial-recalcitrant dissolved organic carbon (RDOC) compounds that are preserved in the ocean interior for decades to millennia [1]. In this review, we have compiled the present state of knowledge on the operation of the MCP in polar seas, highlighted the existing knowledge gaps, and assessed new methodology that has the potential to accelerate this research.

Polar microbial communities are able to sustain metabolic activity and RDOC production through a range of low-temperature adaptations that include remodeling of membrane lipids, expression of molecular chaperones and cryoprotectants, and optimization of enzymatic systems for cold temperatures [5]. These molecular and genetic adaptations, which have been observed in psychrophilic and psychrotolerant organisms, represent the biological basis for MCP performance in the Arctic and Antarctic. The vertical stratification of the polar water column, seasonal sea-ice cover and spatiotemporal variations in hydrography further shape the biogeography and metabolic potential of these communities, producing a complex pattern of carbon transformation environments that are difficult to resolve with single-point or short-term measurements [8].

Climate change brings many different pressures to polar marine ecosystems at the same time, and these pressures may disturb MCP. Fast sea ice loss changes the physical and chemical features of polar oceans. It affects light levels, nutrient movements and gas exchange between air and sea, and this in turn changes the structure of microbial groups and the way they process carbon [9]. Higher temperatures speed up microbial metabolic processes, but they also favor different microbial groups with varying carbon processing abilities. This may break the balance of RDOC production and its breakdown [7]. Ocean acidification develops fastest in Southern Ocean waters. It brings another chemical pressure to microbial life and may also change the stability and chemical activity of dissolved organic carbon compounds [10]. But these pressures do not work alone. Their mixed and complex effects make ecosystems respond in ways more complex than what current single-pressure models can explain [6]. So we need more complete research designs that consider multiple pressures together.

To deal with these difficulties, new research methods are necessary. Field microcosm tests combined with frequent biogeochemical measurements let us change environmental factors. At the same time, they keep the complex natural environment of microbes, so we can find the limits of MCP responses and ecosystem functions [7]. Isotope labeling and molecular biomarker analysis give clear details about how carbon moves and how RDOC forms. Simple flux data cannot show these details, so we can find the key steps and paths that control RDOC production [11]. Combined multi-omics tools (metagenomics, metatranscriptomics, metabolomics) give a clear picture of polar microbes' genetic abilities, metabolic activities and products [4, 5]. This helps us find the main microbial species and enzymes that control carbon storage in different environments. Also, we now know minerals like manganese oxides and clay minerals help form organo-mineral groups. They protect RDOC from being broken down by microbes. This lets us learn more about non-biological ways to store carbon in polar sediments and seawater [12].

The results of this research summary are not only important for polar oceans. Since polar carbon storage areas are easily affected by human activities, lower MCP efficiency may start positive cycles. Less carbon storage leads to more CO_2 building up in the air, which causes further warming [1, 2]. On the other hand, if we clearly understand the steps that control RDOC production and stability, we can find natural ways to improve carbon storage in oceans that are getting warmer. Long-term international ecological observation stations in the Arctic and Antarctic oceans are very important. They help set basic data, show how MCP changes with seasons and years, and provide real evidence to help Earth system models predict polar carbon movement [13, 14]. This information

DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/2026.34179

will be key to predicting how polar carbon systems will change in the future. It will also help us create and check effective ways to slow down climate change.

References

[1] Jiao, N., Herndl, G. J., Hansell, D. A., Benner, R., Kattner, G., Wilhelm, S. W., Kirchman, D. L., Weinbauer, M. G., Luo, T., Chen, F., & Azam, F. (2010). Microbial production of recalcitrant dissolved organic matter: long-term carbon storage in the global ocean. Nature Reviews Microbiology, 8(8), 593–599.

[2] Arrigo, K. R., van Dijken, G. L., & Strong, A. L. (2015). Environmental controls of marine productivity hot spots around Antarctica. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans, 120(8), 5545–5565.

[3] Clarke, A. (2008). Antarctic marine benthic diversity: patterns and processes. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology, 366(1–2), 48–55.

[4] Ducklow, H. W., Erickson, M., Kelly, J., Montes-Hugo, M., Ribic, C. A., Smith, R. C., Stammerjohn, S. E., & Karl, D. M. (2008). Particle export from the upper ocean over the continental shelf of the west Antarctic Peninsula: A long-term record, 1992–2007. Deep Sea Research Part II, 55(18–19), 2118–2131.

[5] Bowman, J. P. (2008). Genomic analysis of psychrophilic prokaryotes. In R. Margesin, F. Schinner, J.-C. Marx, & C. Gerday (Eds.), Psychrophiles: From Biodiversity to Biotechnology (pp. 265–284). Springer, Berlin/Heidelberg.

[6] Convey, P., Chown, S. L., Clarke, A., Barnes, D. K. A., Bokhorst, S., Cummings, V., Ducklow, H. W., Frati, F., Green, T. G. A., Gordon, S., Griffiths, H. J., Howard-Williams, C., Huiskes, A. H. L., Laybourn-Parry, J., Lyons, W. B., McMinn, A., Morley, S. A., Peck, L. S., Quesada, A., Robinson, S. A., Schiaparelli, S., & Wall, D. H. (2014). The spatial structure of Antarctic biodiversity. Ecological Monographs, 84(2), 203–244.

[7] Kirchman, D. L., Morán, X. A. G., & Ducklow, H. (2009). Microbial growth in the polar oceans—role of temperature and potential impact of climate change. Nature Reviews Microbiology, 7(6), 451–459.

[8] Smith, W. O., Jr., Dinniman, M. S., Klinck, J. M., & Hofmann, E. (2003). Biogeochemical climatologies in the Ross Sea, Antarctica: seasonal patterns of nutrients and biomass. Deep Sea Research Part II, 50(22–26), 3083–3101.

[9] Stammerjohn, S., Massom, R., Rind, D., & Martinson, D. (2012). Regions of rapid sea ice change: An inter-hemispheric seasonal comparison. Geophysical Research Letters, 39(6), L06501.

[10] McNeil, B. I., & Matear, R. J. (2008). Southern Ocean acidification: A tipping point at 450-ppm atmospheric CO2. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 105(48), 18860–18864.

[11] Hansell, D. A., Carlson, C. A., Repeta, D. J., & Schlitzer, R. (2009). Dissolved organic matter in the ocean: a controversy stimulates new insights. Oceanography, 22(4), 202–211.

[12] Arnarson, T. S., & Keil, R. G. (2005). Influence of organic-mineral aggregates on microbial degradation of the dinoflagellate Scrippsiella trochoidea. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 69(8), 2111–2117.

[13] Ducklow, H. W., Baker, K., Martinson, D. G., Quetin, L. B., Ross, R. M., Smith, R. C., Stammerjohn, S. E., Vernet, M., & Fraser, W. (2007). Marine pelagic ecosystems: the West Antarctic Peninsula. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B, 362(1477), 67–94.

[14] Turner, J., Bindschadler, R., Convey, P., Di Prisco, G., Fahrbach, E., Gutt, J., Hodgson, D., Mayewski, P. A., & Summerhayes, C. P. (Eds.). (2009). Antarctic climate change and the environment. Scientific Committee on Antarctic Research, Cambridge, 526 pp.