Proceedings of the 5th International Conference on Modern Medicine and Global Health
DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/2027.37120

Bioremediation as a Sustainable Solution for Plastic Pollution under ESG Framework

Qianxun Yang

Shenghuazizhu Academy, Shanghai, China
yqx20090614@qq.com

Abstract. Plastic pollution has emerged as a critical global environmental challenge, threatening marine biodiversity and human health through the generation of microplastics. Conventional waste management methods, such as landfilling and incineration, often entail high greenhouse gas emissions and land-use limitations.Consequently, sustainable alternatives are urgently needed. This paper investigates whether bioremediation-specifically the use of enzymes and microorganisms to degrade plastics-offers a viable solution within the Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) framework. Through a comparative analysis of traditional waste treatments and bioremediation technologies, supplemented by case studies of recent scientific and industrial applications, this study evaluates the sustainability of biological approaches. The findings suggest that while bioremediation holds significant potential to mitigate plastic waste and support the circular economy by reducing environmental impact, it is constrained by technological limitations, slow degradation rates, and economic costs. Therefore, bioremediation should be viewed not as a panacea, but as a complementary measure to reduction and recycling strategies. Its future application is promising but requires careful integration within the ESG framework.

Keywords: bioremediation, plastic pollution, ESG framework, sustainable waste management, enzymatic degradation

1. Introduction

Plastic waste has become a paramount global environmental issue, with millions of tonnes entering the oceans annually, severely affecting marine ecosystems. While previous studies have extensively examined the ecological impacts of plastic pollution, limited attention has been paid to evaluating waste management strategies through the lens of Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) criteria. Numerous authorities have highlighted the severity of this crisis. The United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) has emphasized the threat plastic pollution poses to marine biodiversity and human well-being, underscoring the need for sustainable waste management.

Furthermore, Jambeck et al. estimated the substantial volume of plastic waste entering marine ecosystems due to inadequate waste management infrastructure, illustrating the global scale ofthe issue. Additionally, Thompson and colleagues revealed that microplastics are pervasive in marine systems, accumulating in food webs and posing long-term ecological risks. Most studies focus on its technical effectiveness or environmental impacts . Few studies have comprehensively analyzed

© 2026 The Authors. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/2027.37120

bioremediation as a waste management tool under ESG principles, considering environmental sustainability, economic feasibility, and social requirements simultaneously.

In order to address the research gap, this paper will base on the status of global plastic pollution and the need for hen, it explains the biological mechanisms, applications and limitations of using bioremediation. Finally, this paper evaluates the sustainability of bioremediation for plastic waste management from an ESG perspectiveFinally, evaluating whether bioremediation can be a sustainable solution for plastic waste management through ESG perspectives using comparative analysis and case studies, which can provide a comprehensive understanding to examine the current plastic waste disposal issues and chart a clear direction for future waste-management practices.

2. Plastic pollution and the need for sustainable development

The rapid development of plastic production and consumption has improved modern society and the quality of living due to the advantages of plastic, such as durability, lightweight nature and cost-effectiveness. However, the excessive use and improper disposal of plastic products have resulted the accumulation of plastic waste in the soil and oceans. Therefore, plastic pollution has become a serious environmental issue worldwide because of its properties of its persistence, difficult in degradation, and the potential effects on the ecosystem and human health.

2.1. Sources of plastic pollution

Plastic pollution originates from land and ocean-based sources. First, single-use packaging and municipal waste occupy the largest portion of terrestrial plastic due to inadequate waste management [1]. Second, inland debris via the rivers, transported to the oceans [2], which cause severe threats to the wildlife. Over time, large plastic debris can gradually break down into microplastics and nanoplastics, creating additional environmental risks [3].

2.2. Environmental impact caused by plastic waste

Plastic waste causes extensive environmental damage, especially for its effects on biodiversity , ecosystems, and human health. These macro-plastics cause direct wildlife mortality through dagestive blockage and physical interactions. Many marine species may mistake plastic particles for food, resulting in the reduced nutrition absorption and physiological effects. In addition, abandoned plastic products such as discarded fishing gear can interfere with marine organisms' movement and even their survival, which causes further damage to ecological system balance [4].

For the formation of microplastics and nanoplastic during plastic degradation , they have small size and highly persistence, which allows them to spread widely throughout the oceans, soil and food chains. They can act as vectors for toxic chemicals. Previous studies have illustrated that microplastics can accumulate in the aquatic organisms and potentially transfer to different trophic levels, which creates a risks of ecosystem health. Furthermore, microplastics have latent risks to human health through food, water and environmental exposure. Research has suggested that microplastics may cause biological stress, inflammation, and other potential toxin effects, although their long-term effects require further investigation [5].

Moreover, plastic pollution can influence the environmental processes. For instance, nanoplastics interact with microbes in the soil and alter its ecological functions [6], potentially affecting carbon cycling and greenhouse gas emissions. These impacts demonstrate that plastic pollution is not only a waste management problem but also a environmental sustainable hazard, as changes in ecosystem

DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/2027.37120

functions may ultimately increase the environmental management costs and more complicated climate change for governance to address.

Current treatment methods such as landfilling, incineration, and recycling have limitations. These approaches can only reduce the visible plastic waste, and involve high energy consumption, carbon emissions or limited effectiveness for complex plastic materials [7]. Therefore sustainable alternatives are urgently needed. Bioremediation, which utilizes microorganisms and enzymes to degrade plastic polymers, has emerged as an effective approach due to its ability to reduce environmental impacts and also supporting the circular economy principles [8].

3. Biological mechanisms of bioremediation

Bioremediation is a biological approach which utilizes microorganisms, enzymes, or microbes to transform complex plastic waste into simpler compounds. Compared with traditional treatments methods using physical and chemical processes, bioremediation provides a potentially more environmental friendly solution because it operates in a more mild conditions. However, its effectiveness depends on types of plastics, microbial degradation abilities, and environmental conditions, which make the rate of degradation difficult to control.

3.1. How microorganisms degrade plastics

Microbial plastic degradation generally involves two major types:extracellular enzymatic breakdown and intracellular metabolism. Due to plastic material has large molecular structure, microorganisms first secrete extracellular enzymes , such as lipases,esterases, cutinases and peroxidases, to break down polymer chains into smaller molecules by destroying the ester or carbon-carbon bonds through hydrolysis or oxidation . These degradation products can then enter microbial cells , where intracellular enzymes convert them through metabolic processes into carbon dioxide, water and biomass. This process allows microorganisms to utilize certain plastic components as carbon sources that are environmentally benign and provides a biological pathway for reducing persistent plastic waste [8]. Although these using enzymes are relatively eco-friendly but the economic feasibility can be a barrier of large-scale adoption.

3.2. Current applications of bioremediation

With the development of biotechnology, the potential of bioremediation can act as a sustainable approach for plastic waste management. Current applications mainly include whole-cell biodegradation, microbial consortia,and cell-free enzymatic degradation.

Whole-cell biodegradation utilizes microorganisms which can produce plastic-degrading enzymes naturally. A typical example is *Ideonella sakaiensis*, a bacteria that has abilities to degrade polyethylene terephthalate (PET) through key enzymes: PETase and MHETase. PETase can break PET polymers into intermediate products, and MHETase can convert these products into smaller molecules that can enter metabolic reaction of microbial. This study provided an evidence that microorganisms can transform persistent plastic waste into carbon-based molecules through biological processes and provide access for plastic biodegradation technologies [8].

Carbios is another example which applies enzymatic technology to recycle PET waste into reusable monomers. This approach supports circular economy principles and reduces environmental impacts. Meanwhile, the coorperation with major companies and the need for investment, regulatory supoort demonstrate the importance of social acceptance and governance in large-scale adoption.

DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/2027.37120

Moreover, microbial consortia are also critical because different microbial species can perform different functions during degradation. Compared with single microbial organisms, microbial communities can demonstrate better adaptability under complex environmental conditions, making them have the strategy to overcome plastic pollution, particularly in the aquatic ecosystem [6].

Another emerging method is cell-free enzymatic degradation, which uses isolated or engineered enzymes without using living organisms. This approach provides better control over changing conditions and reduces the concerns of releasing the microorganisms into natural environments. However, the limitation of this approach is significant, including the cost of enzyme production and the stability of enzymes are still insufficient, which restrict its large-scale applications in the industries [8].

Overall, current research reveals that bioremediation has a potential as a sustainable alternative for plastic waste management. However, its degradation efficiency and economic feasibility still require further improvement before widespread application.

3.3. Current challenges of bioremediation

Although bioremediation provides an alternative solution for plastic waste management, several challenges need to be addressed before it can be widely implemented.

Firstly, the applicability of bioremediation is still limited because of the different chemical structures of different plastics. Microorganisms or enzymes that can degrade certain plastics effectively, such as PET, may not work effectively on other highly resistant polymers like polyethylene (PE) and polypropylene (PP). Therefore, the current bioremediation technologies are still mainly suitable for specific type of plastics rather than a wide variety of plastic materials [4, 7].

Secondly, the efficiency of bioremediation process is relatively slow compared to the conventional waste management methods. A complete plastic degradation process requires multiple steps, including enzymatic breakdown and microbial metabolism, meaning that complete degradation requires lengthy processing periods [7].

Furthermore, real-world environmental conditions are unstable, leading to inconsistent bioremediation effectiveness. Factors such as temperature, pH, microbial interactions and nutrient levels could affect degradation efficiency compared with the controlled laboratory conditions [4]. Potential environmental risks also need to be considered. Incomplete degradation can generate intermediate compounds, which will affect the safety of ecosystem. Moreover, the application of microorganisms requires appropriate manipulation assessments and regulations [8].

Therefore, bioremediation can be considered as a complementary approach rather than a complete substitution of conventional waste management methods. Improving degradation efficiency, expanding application of bioremediation on different types of plastics and ensure the environmental and human safety are crucial to the future development as a sustainable solution. The long-term success also depends on public acceptance, effective governance, which are essential components of ESG framework.

4. ESG and sustainability

4.1. Why enterprises adopt bioremediation

With the increasing global attention to the environmental sustainability, many companies are exploring bioremediation as an alternative solution for plastic waste management. Compared to the

DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/2027.37120

conventional methods, bioremediation provides several benefits, including lower environmental impact, reduced energy consumption , and compatibility with circular economy principles.

From an environmental perspective, bioremediation can help reduce plastic accumulation by utilizing microorganisms and enzymes to transform complex plastic compounds into simpler molecules. Different from some traditional methods, bioremediation can reduce the dependence of energy-intensive treatment and minimize the carbon footprint or secondary pollution [7, 8].

From a business perspective, bioremediation adoption can improve corporate performance in ESG assessments and regulate consumer expectations for sustainable development. As companies are facing the growing demand for reducing plastic footprints, this approach can demonstrate their environmental responsibility to the public. Therefore, it creates long-term commercial value for enterprises.

Moreover, bioremediation meets the needs of the transition towards circular economy through providing the way for plastic recovery and reuse. Using microbial and enzymes, plastics are potentially be converted into usable products rather than being a waste. Therefore, enterprises view the bioremediation not only as an solution for waste management but also as an opportunity for sustainable development and technological growth.

4.2. Future challenges and suggestions under ESG framework

In order to transform bioremediation from an complementary approach into a sustainable industrial solution, future development should focus on the strategies combined with environmental, social and governance perspectives.

From the environmental perspective, bioremediation should be evaluated based on the principle of Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) rather than only focusing on the efficiency of degradation. LCA considers the overall environmental impacts throughout the treatment process, including energy consumption, carbon emissions and pollution levels. Therefore, future research should not only focus on optimizing microbial and enzymatic systems to improve degradation efficiency but also minimizing the environmental impact. Combining bioremediation with circular economy principles can promote the development of recycling and reusing plastic materials [8].

From the social perspective, successful implementation of bioremediation requires public understanding and stakeholder engagement. Due to the stakeholder theory, enterprises should consider factors like consumers, local communities and governments. Improving transparency can help the public understand the safety, effectiveness, and limitations of bioremediation. Plus, bioremediation may reduce the accumulation of microplastics that can enter food chains. The developments of bioremediation industry may also create new green employment oppotunities and increase the demands for biotechnology-related skills. Furthermore, effective pollution treatment should be considered from an environmental justice perspective, as plastic pollution often gives greater burdens on vunerable communities.

From the governance perspective, in order to regulate development of bioremediation, governments and international institutions should establish standardized evaluation criteria and monitoring systems to assess its environmental performance and safety. In addition, giving some policy support then biotechnology companies, research institutions and governments can accelerate innovation of industrial applications.

Overall, the future sustainability of bioremediation depends not only on technological improvements but also on the integration of environmental assessment, stakeholder responsibility, and effective governance. It has a potential to become a sustainable approach for addressing global plastic pollution.

DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/2027.37120

5. Conclusion

Plastic pollution has become a significant global environmental issue, which requires sustainable and innovative approaches for waste management. This paper evaluated the potential of bioremediation through its mechanisms, applications and ESG implications. Bioremediation provides a new solution by using microorganisms and enzymes to degrade plastic waste, which can support the circular economy principle.

However, bioremediation cannot be a substitution for plastic pollution. Although the improvement in microbial degradation and enzyme technology have improved its application potential, limitation remains, including restricted applicability, slow degradation processes and incomplete degradation of plastic. Therefore bioremediation should be used as a complementary approach to help the waste reduction, recycling and environmental policies.

This study also has several limitations. The analysis mainly relies on comparative studies and case studies, which not fully consider the real world applications and situations. Moreover, this paper does not provide a quantitative evaluation of economic costs, carbon emissions and its effects to the environment. Future studies should focus on industrial trials, environmental impacts and the availability of microbial and enzymatic technologies. Although bioremediation is not a "universal solution" at present, advances in synthetic biology and enzyme engineering, together with the growing adoption of ESG investment principles, are expected to make it an essential component of the circular economy for plastics in the future.

References

[1] Geyer, R., Jambeck, J. R., & Law, K. L. (2017). Production, use, and fate of all plastics ever made. *Science Advances, 3*(7), e1700782–e1700782. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1700782.

[2] Gall, S. C., & Thompson, R. C. (2015). The impact of debris on marine life. *Marine Pollution Bulletin, 92*(1-2), 170-179. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2014.12.041.

[3] (2014). Styrenes; studies from San Diego State University provide new data on styrenes (polystyrene plastic: a source and sink for polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in the marine environment). *Ecology Environment & Conservation*.

[4] Li, Y., Tao, L., Wang, Q., Wang, F., Li, G., & Song, M. (2023). Potential health impact of microplastics: A review of environmental distribution, human exposure, and toxic effects. *Environment & Health (Washington, D.C.), 1*(4), 249-257. https://doi.org/10.1021/ENVHEALTH.3C00052.

[5] Alharbi, M., Abd elhaliem, S. M., Afifi, S. S., Al shareef, W. A., Mosbah, R. A., Mansour, A. T., ... & Bendary, M. M. (2026). Toward sustainable plastic bioremediation using bacterial consortia from aquatic environments. *Frontiers in Bioengineering and Biotechnology, 13*, 1709072–1709072. https://doi.org/10.3389/FBIOE.2025.1709072.

[6] Cai, Z., Li, M., Zhu, Z., Wang, X., Huang, Y., Li, T., ... & Yan, M. (2023). Biological degradation of plastics and microplastics: A recent perspective on associated mechanisms and influencing factors. *Microorganisms, 11*(7). https://doi.org/10.3390/MICROORGANISMS11071661.

[7] Yadav, P., Kumar, A., Ram, K., Kumar, A., Gupta, R. K., & Dufossé, L. (2025). Microbial degradation of microplastics: Effectiveness, challenges, and sustainable solutions. *Current Research in Microbial Sciences, 9*, 100495–100495. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CRMICR.2025.100495.

[8] Akram, M. A., Savitha, R., Kinsella, G. K., Nolan, K., Ryan, B. J., & Henehan, G. T. (2024). Microbial and enzymatic biodegradation of plastic waste for a circular economy. *Applied Sciences, 14*(24), 11942–11942. https://doi.org/10.3390/APP142411942.