Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Biological Engineering and Medical Science
DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/2026.37302

Smart Wearable Device-Driven Individualized Lifestyle Interventions for Primary Prevention of Metabolic Diseases

Jiayi Wang

School of Public Health, Mudanjiang Medical University, Mudanjiang, China
doragaller1116@gmail.com

Abstract. Metabolic diseases are driven partly by modifiable behaviors, yet conventional lifestyle programs often lack continuous monitoring, sustained engagement, and individualized adjustment. Wearable technologies may address these limitations by capturing behavioral and physiological data in everyday settings and translating them into timely preventive support. This paper synthesizes evidence on wearable-driven individualized lifestyle interventions for the primary prevention of obesity, type 2 diabetes, metabolic syndrome, and cardiovascular disease. It examines physical activity, dietary, sleep, and multicomponent interventions, together with their mechanisms, limitations, and implementation requirements. Evidence indicates that wearable-supported programs consistently increase physical activity, particularly when self-monitoring is combined with goal setting, feedback, consultation, or coaching. Improvements in weight, BMI, waist circumference, and HbA1c are generally modest, while effects on blood pressure and other cardiometabolic markers remain inconsistent. Continuous glucose monitoring and multimodal platforms may enable more responsive dietary guidance, although short follow-up periods, observational designs, uncertain targets in people without diabetes, and burdensome food recording limit causal interpretation. Sleep-focused evidence is comparatively weak, and few studies evaluate incident metabolic disease; declining engagement, heterogeneous definitions of personalization, proprietary algorithms, measurement error, privacy concerns, and digital inequality further constrain translation. Wearables therefore represent an enabling infrastructure rather than an independent preventive treatment. Their public-health value will depend on transparent, validated, professionally supervised, affordable, culturally appropriate, and interoperable systems that sustain adaptive support in primary care and community settings.

Keywords: Wearable devices, personalized lifestyle intervention, metabolic diseases, digital health, primary prevention

1. Introduction

Metabolic diseases, including obesity, type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), metabolic syndrome, and cardiovascular disease, represent a substantial and growing global public health burden [1]. Global epidemiological data show that diabetes prevalence continues to rise, triggering soaring medical costs and risk of premature death. The onset and progression of metabolic disorders are highly

© 2026 The Authors. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/2026.37302

correlated with modifiable daily behaviors including sedentary behavior, irregular eating, and insufficient sleep. Lifestyle adjustment is recognized as the core primary prevention measure to reduce metabolic disease risk [2]. Traditional offline interventions relying on hospital follow-up and manual record-keeping face poor long-term compliance and lack individualized adjustment capacity. The rapid popularization of smart wearable sensors provides a digital solution to realize continuous long-term behavior monitoring for community populations.

Recent systematic reviews confirm that wearable-assisted interventions can raise daily activity levels and slightly optimize metabolic indicators when combined with goal setting and real-time feedback [3]. But cross-study results for HbA1c and BMI changes are inconsistent, with limited overall evidence certainty. Overseas digital platforms integrating CGM, motion tracking and diet recording can identify individual glycemic-response patterns and formulate targeted advice [4]. However, most foreign trials adopt unified intervention schemes without stratified analysis for populations with distinct metabolic risks.

Relevant domestic research developed later and mostly remains limited to small-scale community trials. A southwest China cluster-randomized study showed that wearable intervention improved healthy behaviors within six months, yet the benefit disappeared in a 12-month follow-up [5]. Current domestic studies mostly adopt low-cost basic wristbands, with insufficient discussion on equipment accessibility and health equity barriers in large-scale population implementation. Existing relevant literature only discusses single monitoring modules separately, without systematic integrated sorting of the whole intervention chain from wearable data collection to personalized lifestyle guidance and long-term metabolic risk reduction.

Against this background, a systematic synthesis of the available evidence is needed to determine how wearable devices support individualized lifestyle interventions and whether improvements in behavioral and physiological indicators ultimately translate into a lower risk of metabolic disease because the evidence remains difficult to compare. This paper evaluates wearable-driven interventions for the primary prevention of metabolic diseases, identifies effective intervention characteristics, examines their behavioral and physiological mechanisms, and highlights methodological limitations and priorities for sustainable and equitable precision prevention.

2. Development of wearable-based preventive interventions

2.1. Evolution of wearable technologies

Wearable health technologies have evolved from simple pedometers to comprehensive systems that can capture information on behavior and physiology in real time in everyday environments. Step count, activity intensity, sedentary time, heart rate, energy expenditure, and sleep time are common metrics measured by consumer fitness trackers and smartwatches. Specialized devices can also capture electrocardiographic, oxygen saturation, skin temperature, blood pressure and interstitial glucose level signals. Future sensors that could sense biomarkers in sweat, tears and other bodily fluids could also extend metabolic monitoring beyond conventional measurements [6].

This ability to measure continuously is particularly important for primary prevention of metabolic diseases. Measurements taken during infrequent clinical visits may not capture changes in activity, sleep, food intake, stress, or blood sugar levels in real life. Longitudinal wearable data can reveal chronic inactivity, abnormal sleep patterns, poor cardiovascular fitness or consistent postprandial glucose spikes before clinical disease is evident. These patterns can be used to detect potential targets for earlier lifestyle modification.

DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/2026.37302

However, with monitoring, it doesn't always ensure improved health. Nowadays, technologies can be combined with wearable sensors and smartphone applications, electronic scales, diary applications, goal setting tools, automatic feedback and/or remote coaching. Data is used to construct individual behavioural profiles and recommendations can be adjusted for baseline risk, progress, preferences and past responses. The process includes data collection along with individual guidance and assessment, behavioural change, improvement in intermediate metabolic indicators and reduction in the risk of disease.

Research supports the ability of wearables to improve health behaviors, although benefits vary across populations and intervention designs [7]. In practical research settings, wearables appear most useful when they support structured behavioral strategies rather than functioning as independent preventive treatments.

2.2. Degrees of personalization in wearable-based interventions

Personalized interventions are applied inconsistently across wearable-health studies. In some programs, personalization consists only of selecting a step goal based on baseline activity. More advanced interventions continuously modify their recommendations using behavioral trajectories, physiological responses, personal preferences, and environmental context [8]. Treating all these approaches as equivalent may obscure differences in effectiveness and prevent the identification of the intervention components responsible for favorable outcomes.

Wearable-based personalization can be classified into five levels. Standardized interventions provide the same target or feedback to all participants. Stratified interventions assign recommendations according to relatively stable characteristics, such as age, BMI, baseline activity, or metabolic risk category. Responsive interventions modify goals as adherence or physiological outcomes change. Context-aware interventions consider the timing and circumstances of behavior, allowing prompts to be delivered after prolonged sitting or during periods when activity is normally feasible. Multimodal precision interventions integrate activity, sleep, diet, weight, glucose, and contextual information to determine both the content and timing of support. The five-tier classification is used throughout the subsequent evidence analysis of activity, diet, and sleep interventions to distinguish the degree of personalization in different intervention schemes and compare their corresponding metabolic effects.

3. Evidence for individualized lifestyle interventions

3.1. Physical activity interventions

Physical activity is the most extensively studied target of wearable-based interventions. Activity trackers make daily movement visible, enabling participants to compare their performance with individualized goals and identify periods of prolonged sitting. Devices can also give reminders, show progress, and provide a reward if goals are met. An activity baseline may be used to find a personalized target, which can be incrementally raised according to physical capacity and adherence and may be more realistic for sedentary or older adults and those with obesity than prescribing a uniform target.

There is new evidence to support the difference between behavioral effectiveness and metabolic effectiveness. An umbrella review of 39 systematic reviews conducted in 2025 found that interventions with wrist-worn wearable feedback overall resulted in greater physical activity, with only modest and inconsistent findings for cardiometabolic risk markers [9]. Over 80% of the clinical

DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/2026.37302

trials included assessed complex behavioral programs rather than simply the wearables themselves, so it is difficult to attribute the results to the technology. These findings indicate that wearable feedback can be used to increase activity, and structured behavioral support can be used to ascertain whether more activity results in clinically relevant metabolic improvements.

A systematic review and meta-analysis published in BMJ found that monitors added 1,235 steps per day and 48.5 minutes of moderate-to-vigorous activity per week [10]. Generally, effects were greater when devices were used in conjunction with consultation or other behavioral support. Practically speaking, raw activity data can indicate to users that they are not active, while consultation can identify where, when, and how activity can be integrated into their activities.

Modest changes in metabolic risk indicators may accompany behavioral improvements. A meta-analysis involving adults with overweight or obesity reported improvements in daily steps and moderate-to-vigorous activity, accompanied by mean reductions of 1.61 kg in body weight and 0.59 kg/m^2 in BMI [11]. There were no significant effects on blood pressure or resting heart rate. These findings are similar to those seen in many lifestyle trials of shorter duration: activity may rise fairly rapidly, although cardiovascular or metabolic effects may require greater intensity, longer exposure, or significant weight loss.

This distinction between behavioral effectiveness and metabolic effectiveness is highlighted by recent evidence. A review in 2025 showed that wrist-worn wearable feedback in interventions generally promoted higher levels of physical activity, with mixed and limited findings regarding cardiometabolic risk markers [9]. Over 80% of the clinical trials included assessed complex behavioral programs and not wearable devices alone, making it challenging to determine the effects of the devices alone. The efficacy of the intervention depends largely on the strategies surrounding the device. Participants can convert information into action with the help of goal setting, self-monitoring, feedback, action planning, problem solving, and professional support. Passive monitoring can boost activity at first due to novelty, but then can lead to a decrease in activity when participants get similar prompts repeatedly. Adaptive programs may be able to raise goals after a period of successful adherence, lower them during periods of difficulty, or include extra assistance during inactive periods.

Existing research does not yet show that wearable devices can prevent metabolic diseases. Most trials are conducted for weeks or months and measure activity, weight, fitness or markers of glucose metabolism but not incident diabetes, metabolic syndrome or cardiovascular events. Wearables can be used as effective tools to modify the proximal risk factors, but long-term effects of wearables in disease prevention remain to be assessed.

3.2. Dietary interventions

Food intake is less standardized because of the lack of direct measurement by wearable devices. Typically, programs include the use of activity trackers, continuous glucose monitors, or digital scales in addition to food diaries, photos of meals, barcode scanning, and self-reported intake. Recommendations may be based on energy expenditure, weight trends, food preferences, meal timing or post-meal glucose levels.

Personalized nutrition studies have recently highlighted the use of continuous glucose monitoring. These sensors enable users to see the fluctuations in glucose levels in response to food, exercise, sleep, and time of day. Because of variation in insulin sensitivity, body composition, prior activity, stress, sleep, and meal composition, the postprandial responses of people eating the same meal may differ. The glucose data can therefore form a more personalized basis for discussing diet than general advice alone.

DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/2026.37302

A digital health study that included 2,217 participants combined continuous glucose monitoring with activity tracking, food tracking, and body weight in a mobile app [4]. Recommendations were given to the participants based on their objectives, preferences, and observed glucose patterns. Glucose variability, hyperglycemia, diet quality, and body weight improved, especially when participants were overweight/obese. These outcomes are examples of what multidimensional personalization can achieve in real-world settings. However, the study design and brief monitoring period do not allow conclusions to be drawn regarding cause and effect or long-term diabetes prevention.

The results of other mobile health studies also indicate that diet and activity should be addressed concurrently. A 2025 meta-analysis of 29 randomized trials among adults with overweight or obesity found reductions of 1.45 kg in body weight, 0.35 kg/m² in BMI, 1.98 cm in waist circumference, and 0.13 percentage points in HbA1c [3]. Intervention strategies used frequently were self-monitoring, feedback, instruction, goal setting, and action planning. Dietary and physical activity programs that included multiple complementary behavior-change techniques were more likely to yield positive results.

These findings must be tempered by several practical considerations. Food recording can be very time-consuming and compliance often wanes during an intervention. The estimation of energy expenditure by consumer devices may not be accurate enough for use for precise dietary prescriptions. CGM is also used in non-diabetics, but with caution as the normal range of glucose fluctuations and clinically relevant targets are not fully characterized. When short-term glucose swings are communicated without context, this can lead to an unnecessary restriction of nutritious foods. Recommendations must therefore be personalized, culturally appropriate, if possible, endorsed by qualified personnel.

3.3. Sleep and circadian interventions

The amount, quality, regularity and timing of sleep are now known to affect metabolic health. Sleep restriction or disturbances can affect insulin sensitivity, food choice, sympathetic activity, blood pressure and appetite regulation. Sleep disruption can also make people feel less motivated to be active and more likely to eat late at night. These patterns can be studied in conjunction with activity and glucose data when repeated wearable measurements are taken.

Individualized sleep interventions can include reminders for bedtime, suggestions for sleep schedule changes, or reminders of associations between sleep and behaviors. For a person who has been sleep deficient for a prolonged period, lengthening sleep may be warranted, whereas regularization may be appropriate for a person who sleeps for enough time but has inconsistent sleep times. Advice can be customized to the participant's experience if sleep information is coupled with next-day activity, appetite, or glucose responses.

The evidence base is less well established than for physical activity. Consumer devices are reasonably good at estimating total sleep time (TST), but are less accurate at determining sleep stages and nighttime wakefulness. The accuracy of the data differs across devices, algorithms, and populations, so sleep data from wearables should be viewed as a behavioral estimate rather than a diagnostic measurement.

Whether wearable-guided sleep improvement independently reduces metabolic risk is still uncertain. Many studies are observational or focus on sleep outcomes without sufficient follow-up to detect changes in obesity, insulin resistance, or disease incidence. Sleep monitoring may ultimately have greater value within multicomponent programs that treat activity, sedentary behavior, diet, and sleep as interconnected parts of a 24-hour behavioral pattern. Compared with physical activity and

DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/2026.37302

dietary intervention trials, sleep-related wearable research includes fewer large-sample randomized controlled studies at present, and most existing designs use only standardized one-size-fits-all sleep prompts, rarely adopting level 3–5 responsive or context-aware personalized adjustment modes.

3.4. Multicomponent lifestyle interventions

Because metabolic diseases develop through interactions among behavioral, biological, social, and environmental factors, interventions targeting one behavior may have limited effects. Multicomponent programs more closely reflect this complexity by integrating activity and sleep monitoring, dietary records, digital scales, glucose measurements, health education, automated recommendations, and professional coaching.

A 2025 randomized trial compared an artificial intelligence-led Diabetes Prevention Program with a remotely delivered human coach-led program among adults with prediabetes and overweight or obesity [12]. At 12 months, the automated program was noninferior to human coaching for a composite outcome incorporating weight reduction, physical activity, and HbA1c. The trial suggests that automated personalization can help improve scalable prevention, but it does not show equivalence for every individual outcome, or a reduction in diabetes incidence.

Some studies apply personalization to simple step targets or reminders while others adapt recommendations based on physiological measures, user habits, environment, and user preferences. For meaningful individualized prevention to occur, there needs to be ongoing evaluation of the required support, the timing of support and whether it needs to be adapted as the participant progresses.

4. Mechanisms, challenges and future perspectives

4.1. Mechanisms underlying effectiveness

There are several interrelated ways in which wearables can affect behavior. Users can see their activity, sedentary time, sleep, weight, and glucose patterns through self-monitoring, helping them identify what works and what does not. These measurements are then translated into actionable steps through personalized feedback.

Continuous data also allow for adaptive intervention. Goals can be raised following sustained adherence, adjusted when progress is stalled, and/or changed when priorities change. Just-in-time support can be provided after prolonged sitting, or when a participant is typically active. Motivation can be sustained through progress displays, reminders, rewards, social support and coaching, especially if the goals are personally meaningful.

These mechanisms constitute a continuum from data harvesting, to data-based individualization and tailored intervention, to behavior change and to better intermediate metabolic outcomes. They are affected by age, baseline risk, digital literacy, socioeconomic factors, intervention adherence, intensity of coaching, intervention duration and degree of personalization. There is still a role for humans to interpret the complex information, to address barriers and avoid inappropriate responses to automated advice.

4.2. Challenges

Evidence heterogeneity is a major limitation. There are variations in devices, populations, intervention content, personalization criteria, comparator, and outcome measurement across studies.

DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/2026.37302

Proprietary algorithms can also be altered without notice, making studies more difficult to reproduce and compare.

There is also limited long-term evidence. Engagement drops when the novelty of a device has worn off and gains resulting from active coaching can disappear once a device is removed. Few trials have measured for metabolic disease and there is still no proof of preventive benefits from short-term benefits.

Other barriers to implementation may be digital inequality. Wearable programs requires devices, connectivity, digital and health literacy. However, many studies continue to fail to adequately involve older adults, lower-income communities, rural and other marginalised communities and there is a risk that technology based prevention will further widen inequalities.

Privacy and validity of measurements must be considered. Wearables generate rich and intimate information that relates to behavior, location, sleep and physiology. It is crucial to have secure storage, transparent consent, data minimization, and clear ownership policies. Precision may vary depending on the device, type of activity, position, skin type, body composition and so on and it is not recommended that consumer measurements be used for preventive decisions without having been previously validated.

4.3. Future directions

Future research should determine the optimal combination of monitoring, personalization, coaching and behavior change techniques for various populations. Longer follow-up and comparable definitions of personalisation, transparent algorithms, and objective adherence measures would help increase comparisons. Trials should assess incident diabetes, metabolic syndrome and cardiovascular events and state weight, blood pressure, lipid or glucose changes as intermediate end points if possible.

While AI can enhance the integration of activity, sleep, dietary, glucose and contextual data, predictive accuracy alone will not guarantee effective prevention. Models must be validated, have clear decision rules, be subject to fairness assessment, and be professionally managed. Programs also need to incorporate considerations of affordability, digital literacy, privacy, interoperability, and cultural relevance.

There is a need for implementation research to explore the incorporation of wearable programs into primary care and community health settings, such as professional training, sustainable reimbursement mechanisms, data-sharing systems, and cost-effective delivery models. Wearables have the potential to provide infrastructure for precision prevention, but to be effective, understandable, equitable, and sustainable in real-world use, they will need adaptive support.

5. Conclusion

This paper considered the contributions that personalized lifestyle interventions with wearable devices could make in the primary prevention of metabolic diseases such as obesity, type 2 diabetes, and cardiovascular disease. Wearable-supported interventions most consistently increased proximal behaviors, such as steps and moderate-to-vigorous physical activity, and less consistently improved body weight, BMI, waist circumference, HbA1c, blood pressure, and other cardiometabolic metrics across the evidence. Devices seemed to be more effective when used as part of a structured program using a combination of self-monitoring and personal goals, feedback, action planning, problem solving, consultation and/or professional coaching, indicating that the surrounding behavior-change strategy is more important than self-monitoring alone. CGM and multimodal platforms with

DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/2026.37302

integration of activity, diet, sleep, body weight, and glucose data showed promise for finding individual response patterns and for making more responsive recommendations, but with limitations including short follow-up, observational designs, unclear clinical thresholds in non-diabetics, and burdensome recording. Sleep-based interventions are relatively underdeveloped, and there are inconsistencies in the accuracy of the devices used, and few large randomized trials have incorporated responsive or context-aware personalization; sleep monitoring may therefore be best suited as one part of a comprehensive 24-hour behavioral intervention. In general, existing trials provide evidence for improving intermediate risk factors but not for preventing metabolic disease, as few have measured the incidence of metabolic disease, cardiovascular events, or persistence of benefit beyond the end of active support.

References

[1] Khanna, S., Gan, G. C. H., Sindone, A. P., Tromp, J., Butler, J., Foo, R., Nerlekar, N., & Bhat, A. (2026). Asia at the epicenter of the global cardiometabolic shift. *JACC: Asia, 6*(3), 269–283. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacasi.2026.01.001

[2] Zhang, K., Ma, Y., Luo, Y., Song, Y., Xiong, G., Ma, Y., Sun, X., & Kan, C. (2023). Metabolic diseases and healthy aging: Identifying environmental and behavioral risk factors and promoting public health. *Frontiers in Public Health, 11*, 1253506. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2023.1253506

[3] Li, S., Zhou, Y., Tang, Y., Ma, H., Zhang, Y., Wang, A., Tang, X., Pei, R., & Piao, M. (2025). Behavior change resources used in mobile app-based interventions addressing weight, behavioral, and metabolic outcomes in adults with overweight and obesity: Systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *JMIR mHealth and uHealth, 13*, e63313. https://doi.org/10.2196/63313

[4] Zahedani, A. D., McLaughlin, T., Veluvali, A., Aghaeepour, N., Hosseinian, A., Agarwal, S., Ruan, J., Tripathi, S., Woodward, M., Hashemi, N., & Snyder, M. (2023). Digital health application integrating wearable data and behavioral patterns improves metabolic health. *NPJ Digital Medicine, 6*(1), 216. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41746-023-00956-y

[5] Yu, B., Li, Y., Ma, C., Reinhardt, J. D., Dou, Q., Zuo, H., Yang, X., Li, M., Cai, C., Fan, Y., Huang, Z., Pang, T., Tang, Q., Yang, F., Ye, T., Jia, P., & Yang, S. (2025). Effectiveness of socioecological model-guided, smart device-based, and self-management-oriented lifestyle (3SLIFE) intervention on healthy lifestyles and metabolic syndrome risk in community residents: A cluster-randomized controlled trial. *BMC Medicine, 23*(1), 302. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-025-04135-6

[6] Ayyanu, R., Arul, A., Song, N., Anand Babu Christus, A., Li, X., Tamilselvan, G., Bu, Y., Kavitha, S., Zhang, Z., & Liu, N. (2023). Wearable sensor platforms for real-time monitoring and early warning of metabolic disorders in humans. *Analyst, 148*(19), 4616–4636. https://doi.org/10.1039/d3an01085f

[7] Longhini, J., Marzaro, C., Bargeri, S., Palese, A., Dell'Isola, A., Turolla, A., Pillastrini, P., Battista, S., Castellini, G., Cook, C., Gianola, S., & Rossettini, G. (2024). Wearable devices to improve physical activity and reduce sedentary behaviour: An umbrella review. *Sports Medicine - Open, 10*(1), 9. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40798-024-00678-9

[8] Hardeman, W., Houghton, J., Lane, K., Jones, A., & Naughton, F. (2019). A systematic review of just-in-time adaptive interventions (JITAIs) to promote physical activity. *The International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity, 16*(1), 31. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12966-019-0792-7

[9] Glisic, M., Llane, A., Stojic, S., Llanaj, E., Roa-Díaz, Z. M., Raguindin, P. F., Kastrati, L., Weed, L., Turakhia, M. P., Ashley, E., Muka, T., & Ioannidis, J. P. A. (2026). An umbrella review of systematic reviews of the impact of wrist-worn wearables on health outcomes. *Physiological Reviews, 106*(2), 935–969. https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00049.2024

[10] Larsen, R. T., Wagner, V., Korfitsen, C. B., Keller, C., Juhl, C. B., Langberg, H., & Christensen, J. (2022). Effectiveness of physical activity monitors in adults: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ, 376*, e068047. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj-2021-068047

[11] Dehghan Ghahfarokhi, A., Vosadi, E., Barzegar, H., & Saatchian, V. (2022). The effect of wearable and smartphone applications on physical activity, quality of life, and cardiovascular health outcomes in overweight/obese adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Biological Research for Nursing, 24*(4), 503–518. https://doi.org/10.1177/10998004221099556

DOI: 10.54254/2753-8818/2026.37302

[12] Mathioudakis, N., Lalani, B., Abusamaan, M. S., Alderfer, M., Alver, D., Dobs, A., Kane, B., McGready, J., Riekert, K., Ringham, B., Shehadeh, A., Vandi, F., Wanigatunga, A. A., Zade, D., Maruthur, N. M., & AI-DPP Study Group. (2025). An AI-powered lifestyle intervention vs human coaching in the diabetes prevention program: A randomized clinical trial. *JAMA, 334*(23), 2079–2089. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2025.19563